IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 7483 of 2026

Shashikant Sharma son of Rajesh Sharma … … **Petitioner**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand … … **Opp. Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Dilip Kumar Chakraverty, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Naveen Kumar Ganjhu, A.P.P.

02/**13.08.2026** Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody in connection with Balidih P.S. Case No. 79 of 2026, corresponding to G.R. No. 846 of 2026, for the offences registered under Sections 332, 305(a) and 76 of the B.N.S. 2023, pending in the court of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, at Bokaro.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it has been alleged in the FIR that the petitioner entered into the house of the informant and tried to outrage her modesty and when the informant woke up, the petitioner fled away. He submits that the victim could not identify the petitioner at the time of occurrence. The learned counsel submits that on the next morning, on the basis of CCTV camera, the petitioner has been made accused. He submits that no TIP has been conducted and charge sheet has been submitted for the offence under Sections 332, 305(a), 76 and 331(4) of the BNS. He submits that the petitioner has been made accused only on suspicion. The petitioner is in custody since 14.05.2026.

4. Learned counsel for the opposite party-State has opposed the prayer for bail and has submitted that there is direct allegation against the petitioner. However, he does not dispute that as per the First Information Report, the victim had not identified the petitioner at the time of alleged offence.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that charge sheet has been submitted and apparently the victim had not identified the petitioner at the time of occurrence and no TIP is alleged to have been conducted, the

petitioner above named is directed to be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rs. Twenty-five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, at Bokaro, in connection with Balidih P.S. Case No. 79 of 2026, corresponding to G.R. No. 846 of 2026, on the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors would be the present *pairvikar* of the petitioner.

(ii) The other bailor should be his close relative.

(iii) The petitioner will attend the court on each and every date and on account of his single default, the learned court shall cancel the bail bond furnished by the petitioner.

(iv) The petitioner will deposit a self-attested copy of his Aadhar Card along with his mobile number before the learned court which he will not change during the pendency of the case without prior permission of the court.

(v) The petitioner shall fully co-operate with the proceedings before the learned court below.

6. Let this order along with a copy of the affidavit filed with the bail petition be communicated to the court concerned through FAX/e-mail.

7. The instant bail application is allowed with the aforesaid conditions.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 13.08.2026
Uploaded on: 14.08.2026
Binit/