(2026:JHHC:25618)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.7946 of 2026

Rajesh Saw, S/o Karu Saw, aged about 36 years, R/o Village Kumhardih, P.O.-Tantri, P.S.-Jaridih, District-Bokaro, Jharkhand.

.... …. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sidhartha Roy, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Nehala Sharmin, Spl.P.P

Order No.02 Dated- 25-08-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Petarwar P.S. Case No.127 of 2025 corresponding to NDPS Case No.06 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 20(b)(ii)/27(a) & 25 of the NDPS Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner along with the co-accused persons was involved in sale and purchase of Ganja and from the co-accused Chintu Saw 76 kg of Ganja was recovered; which comes under commercial quantity. It is next submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been implicated in this case only on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused. It is further submitted that charge sheet has been submitted in this case after finding the allegations against the petitioner to be true. It is also submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and also undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant/victim or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 22.06.2026 as has been mentioned in para-01 of this bail application. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

Learned Spl. P.P. appearing for the State on the other hand

vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that keeping in view the fact that the Ganja was recovered in commercial quantity; the rigors of Section 37 of the N.D.P.S. Act, 1985 is attracted in this case and in the absence of any material to suggest that the petitioner is not guilty of the offences and that he is not likely to commit any offence while on bail, the petitioner ought not be released on bail. It is next submitted that there is every chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with evidence, if released on bail. It is lastly submitted that the prayer of the petitioner for bail, be rejected.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner as well as the chance of his absconding and tampering with evidence as also in the absence of any material for this Court to be satisfied that there are reasonable grounds for believing that the petitioner is not guilty of the offences and that he is not likely to commit any offence, if released on bail; this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above-named petitioner is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

25/08/2026
Abhiraj/