IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 7390 of 2026

Md Taz @ Md. Taz Ansari, Son of Late Mumtaz Ansari Aged about 36 years, resident of village- Amlo Basti, P.O + P.S- Bermo, Dist.- Bokaro, Jharkhand. **… … Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand **… … Opposite Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Randhir Kumar, Advocate
: Ms. Pinki Kumari, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Fahad Allam, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Rajesh Kr. Mahtha, Advocate

04/**18.09.2026** Heard the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 21.06.2026 in connection with Bermo P.S. Case No. 82 of 2026, registered under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 109, 74, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, now pending in the court of learned S.D.J.M. Bermo at Tenughat.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner and the co-accused. The learned counsel submits that charge-sheet has been submitted under sections 115(2), 126(2), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 on 18.08.2026. He submits that there is no injury report showing that one or the other victim suffered any grievous injury.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that there was a dispute in connection with exit of drain between the parties and there is case and counter-case.

5. Learned counsel for the opposite party- State as well as the informant have opposed the prayer for bail and have referred to paragraph 7 of the case-diary to submit that the petitioner had misbehaved with the lady and assaulted Dilshad on his head. They submit that Shahjahan Praveen had also suffered injuries.

6. After hearing the learned counsels for the parties and

considering the fact that there is no material in the case-diary that one or the other victim has suffered any grievous injury and further, there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner and the co-accused and charge-sheet having already been submitted, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees twenty-five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M. Bermo at Tenughat in connection with Bermo P.S. Case No. 82 of 2026, on the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors would be the present *pairvikar* of the petitioner.

(ii) The other bailor should be his close relative.

(iii) The petitioner will attend the court on each and every date and on account of even single default, the learned court shall cancel the bail bond furnished by the petitioner.

(iv) The petitioner will deposit a self-attested copy of his Aadhar Card along with his mobile number before the learned court which he will not change during the pendency of the case without prior permission of the court.

(v) The petitioner shall fully co-operate with the proceedings before the learned trial court.

7. The instant application is allowed with the aforesaid conditions.

8. Let this order along with a copy of the affidavit filed with the bail petition be communicated to the court concerned through FAX/e-mail.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of Order:18.09.2026
Pankaj
Date of Uploading:19.09.2026