[2026:JHHC:25242]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.7190 of 2026

Sourav Sharma @ Rinku Seth @ Surav Sharma, aged about 34 years, S/o Shambhu Dayal Sharma, resident of Vill- New Olidih Tank Road, Mango, P.O.- Mango, P.S.- Olidih, District- East Singhbhum, Jharkhand.

.... …. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Anurag Kashyap, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Azeemuddin, Addl.P.P.

Order No:-03 Dated:-20-08-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with S.T. Case No. 380 of 2025 arising out of Sitaramdera, P.S. Case No. 09 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 307, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of the common intention with the co-accused persons committed murder of Taklu Lohar by firing upon him. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is then submitted that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. So far 03 witnesses have been examined but none of them have stated anything to implicate the petitioner in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been implicated in this case only on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused person. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 22.10.2025 as mentioned in para-15 of the instant bail application. It is also submitted that the co-accused, with similar allegations, has already been admitted to bail by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 12.03.2026 passed in B.A. No.489 of 2026. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner

undertakes to co-operate with the trial of the case and also undertakes not to annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Jamshedpur in connection with S.T. Case No. 380 of 2025 arising out of Sitaramdera, P.S. Case No. 09 of 2024 **with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case and he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated:- 20.08.2026
Amar/