(2026:JHHC:25053)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.7201 of 2026

Jamuna Mahato @ Janak Prasad Yadav @ Yamuna Mahato, aged about 46 years, S/o Late Bhola Mahato @ Bhola Prasad Yadav, R/o Village-Guliadih, P.O. and P.S.-Maniadih, Dist.-Dhanbad, Jharkhand.
A/P R/o-Santosh Pur, Maheshtala SO, P.O.-Santosh Pur, P.S.-Maheshtala, Dist.-South 24 Parganas, West Bengal, PIN-700142.

.... …. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Soumitra Baroi, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Satish Prasad, Addl.P.P

Order No.03 Dated- 19-08-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with S.T. Case No.374 of 2026 arising out of Tundi P.S. Case No.61 of 2000 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 307 & 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25(1-B), 26 of the Arms Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons attempted to murder the informant and assaulted the informant and tore his vest when the informant caught hold of the wrist of the co-accused who was going to fire upon the informant. It is next submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false. It is also submitted that charge has been framed in this case, but no witness has been examined as yet. It is further submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant/victim or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. It is also submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in para-03 of the supplementary affidavit dated 17.08.2026. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 22.04.2026

as has been mentioned in para-26 of this bail application. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above-named petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Dhanbad in connection with S.T. Case No.374 of 2026 arising out of Tundi P.S. Case No.61 of 2000 **with the condition that the petitioner will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case**.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

19/08/2026
Abhiraj/