IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

L.P.A No. 540 of 2012

Md. Salim son of late Md. Darbari Hussain resident of P-11/2, Telco Colony, P.O. & P.S.-Telco, District-Singhbhum (East) **..Appellant(s)**

-VERSUS-

1. The School Managing Committee, Urdu High School, Jamshedpur, Near Telco Masque, P.O.-Telco, P.S.-Telco, District-Singhbhum (East)-Jamshedpur
2. Md. Enamul Haque son of late Ekramul Haque resident of Road No.3, Qr. No. 11, Barinagar Muslim Basti, Telco Colony, P.O. & P.S.-Telco, Jamshedpur, District-Singhbhum (East) **..Respondents/Appellants**
3. Jharkhand Academic Council, Ranchi, P.O. & P.S.-Namkum, District-Ranchi
4. The District Education Officer, Jamshedpur (wrongly described as District Superintendent of Education, Jamshedpur in the proceeding before J.E.T. P.O & P.S.-Jamshedpur, District-Singhbhum (East) (Respondents 3 and 4 respectively in the J.E.T.)

..Respondents/Proforma Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Appellant(s) : None
For the Respondent-JAC: Mrs. Richa Sanchita, Advocate
Ms. Risheeta Singh, Advocate

34/25.08.2026

1. None for the appellant.

2. Heard Mrs. Richa Sanchita, learned counsel, who appears with Ms. Risheeta Singh, learned counsel for the respondent-Jharkhand Academic Council and Mrs. Rashmi Kumar, learned counsel, who appears for the respondent-School.

3. On 05.05.2026, we were constrained to make the following orders:

> *"1. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks some time and assures us that on the next occasion, he would appear and argue the appeal.*
> *2. Therefore, we place this matter on 16th of June, 2026.*

3. Learned counsel for the parties must file a short synopsis of their arguments along with the precedents, if any that they wish to rely upon. This must be done before the next date which shall now be 16th of June, 2026."

4. Contrary to the assurance given to us, today neither the appellant nor his advocate are present. Besides, they have also not filed any synopsis of their arguments along with the precedents. All these indicate that the appellant is no longer interested in pursuing this appeal. Therefore, we dismiss this appeal for non-prosecution. There shall be no order to costs.

(M. S. Sonak, C.J.)

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

25th August, 2026
Jk/Amit
Uploaded on 28/08/2026