IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 4101 of 2026

Pappu Kumar @ Alok Suman aged about 44 years, son of Gulab Mehta, Resident of village & P.O.- Lupung, P.S.- Katkamsandi, Dist.- Hazaribag.

..... … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

..... … Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner : Mr. Shailendra Jit, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sinha, A.P.P.

03/ 27.07.2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Ichak P.S. Case No. 25 of 2022, registered for the offence under Sections 379 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, Rule-54 of Jharkhand Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 2004, Rule 13 of the Jharkhand Minerals (Prevention of Illegal Mining Transportation and Storage) Rules, 2017 and Section 4/21 of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, pending in the court of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Hazaribag.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and petitioner has not committed any offence, as alleged in the FIR. He next submits that as per allegation, six accused persons including the petitioner were carrying illegal mining of stones without grant of any mining lease. He then submits that the name of this petitioner has been taken by the local resident of the area, however, that person name has not been disclosed in the FIR, which has no evidentiary value. He further submits that

here is no material against the petitioner and in the similar circumstance, the other co-accused has already been provided the privilege of anticipatory bail in A.B.A. No. 3846 of 2024 by the co-ordinate bench of this court. On these grounds, he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be provided to the petitioner.

4. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State has opposed the prayer and submits that the allegations are there of illegal mining of stones. On this ground, he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

5. Considering that in the similar circumstance, the other co-accused has already been provided the privilege of anticipatory bail in the aforementioned A.B.A. by the co-ordinate bench of this court and further the name of the local resident, who has taken the name of this petitioner has not been disclosed in the FIR and in the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the above-named petitioner is directed to surrender before the learned Court within three weeks from today and in the event of his arrest or surrender, he shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Hazaribag, in connection with Ichak P.S. Case No. 25 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-27.07.2026
Amitesh/-