IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

L.P.A. No. 442 of 2025

1. M/s Central Coalfield Limited having its office at Darbhanga House, Ranchi, office at Kutchery Road, P.O. & P.S. Morabadi, District Ranchi, Jharkhand through its authorized signatory Jobi VP, aged about 53 years, son of Late V V Philip working for gain at Darbhanga House, P.O. & P.S. Morabadi, District Ranchi.
2. The Director Personnel (Head Quarter), Central Coalfield Limited, Darbhanga House, Ranchi, office at Kutchery Road, P.O. & P.S.-Morabadi, District-Ranchi, Jharkhand.
3. The General Manager (H.R.D.), Central Coalfield Limited, Darbhanga House, Ranchi, office at Kutchery Road, P.O. & P.S.-Morabadi, District-Ranchi, Jharkhand.
4. The General Manager (P & I R), Central Coalfield Limited, Darbhanga House, Ranchi, office at Kutchery Road, P.O. & P.S.-Morabadi, District-Ranchi, Jharkhand.
5. The General Manager Dhori Area, Central Coalfield Limited, Dhori, P.O. & P.S.-Dhori, District-Bokaro, Jharkhand.
6. The Project Officer, Central Coalfield Limited, Tarmi O.C.P., P.O. & P.S.-Tarmi, District-Bokaro, Jharkhand.
7.The Finance Manager, Tarmi O.C.P., Central Coalfield Limited, Tarmi, P.O. & P.S.-Tarmi, District-Bokaro, Jharkhand.
8. The Staff Officer (P & A), Central Coalfield Limited, Dhoro Area, P.O. & P.S.-Dhori, District-Bokaro, Jharkhand.

.... Appellants

Versus

Basanti Bai, aged about 41 years, wife of Late Mangtu Ram, resident of Central Colony Makoli, P.O. Makoli, P.S. Chandrapura, District Bokaro, Jharkhand. ... Respondent

---

CORAM: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Appellants: Mr. Ankit Vishal, Advocate

02/Dated: 02.09.2026

1. Heard Mr Ankit Vishal, learned counsel for the appellants.

2. This appeal is directed against order dated 02.05.2025 dismissing appellant's Civil Review Petition No. 123 of 2024.

3. As has been held in the case of **M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Limited and others** Vs. **Socio Techno Environmental Institute and others, L.P.A. No. 408 of 2001** decided on 13.12.2002, no L.P.A. would be maintainable against an

order dismissing a review petition. This order has been followed in **Laxman Tamang @ Laxman Kumar Tamang and others Vs. The State of Jharkhand and others, L.P.A. No. 226 of 2025** decided on 10.03.2026 and in the case of **Central Coalfields Ltd. Vs. Kuni Devi, L.P.A. No. 359 of 2025** decided on 06.04.2026.

4. Therefore, following the above decisions, we dismiss this L.P.A. as not maintainable.

5. Mr Ankit Vishal fairly points out that even against the order dated 05.09.2023 passed in W.P.(S) No. 774 of 2023, against which the review came to be dismissed by the impugned order, the appellant had preferred L.P.A. No. 615 of 2025, which has since been dismissed by order dated 15.06.2026 by invoking the bar of limitation.

6. Mr Ankit Vishal submitted that the original order of the learned Single Judge dated 05.09.2023 has also been duly complied with.

7. For all the above reasons, we dismiss this L.P.A. as not maintainable.

8. Pending I.As. will not survive and are also disposed of.

(M. S. Sonak, C.J.)

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

02.09.2026

N.A.F.R.

APK/VK

Uploaded on 07.09.2026