(2026:JHHC:19679)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B. A. No. 6226 of 2026

Amrit Raj @ Bulbul Pandey, aged about 36 years, son of Krishnanand Mishra, resident of Mohalla – Batraha, PO & PS – Saharsa, District – Saharsa (Bihar). **… … …Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand. **… … …Opp. Party**

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. J.S. Singh, Sr. Advocate
: Ms. Shabina Perween, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Pankaj Kumar, Addl. P.P.

Order No:- 02, Dated:- 06th July, 2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved before this Court for grant of bail in connection with Tamar P.S. Case No. 21 of 2026, registered for the offences punishable under sections 111(2), 111(3), 112(2), 318(4), 49, 61(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 along with Section 12(3) of the Jharkhand Competitive Examination (Measures for Control & Prevention of Unfair Means in Recruitment), Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner was a member of a Gang responsible for leaking of question paper of Jharkhand Excise Constable Examination. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false and the main allegation is against Chunchun Kumar who provided one set of question paper with answer by intimating the candidates who wanted to appear by adopting unfair means that the same has been obtained from the agency involved in conducting the examination. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been implicated in this case only on the basis of confessional statement of Chunchun Kumar and Chunchun Kumar, in his confessional statement has categorically stated that the petitioner has provided the possible sample of questions but nowhere it has been mentioned that the petitioner did any illegal act.

It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 13 of the bail application. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 05.05.2026, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 14 of the bail application though charge-sheet has been submitted. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the abovenamed petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of ***learned Additional Judicial Commissioner – I, Ranchi***, in connection with ***Tamar P.S. Case No. 21 of 2026***, with the condition that the petitioner will cooperate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and a copy of his Aadhar Card in the court below with the undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated – 06.07.2026
Aditi