(2026:JHHC:20799)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B. A. No. 6550 of 2026

Rohan Gope @ Poltu Gope, aged about 24 years, son of Bishu Gope, r/o Dindli Basti, Post Adityapur, Police Station – Adityapur, District – Seraikella Kharsawan. **… … …Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand. **… … …Opp. Party**

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vikash Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Sanath Kr. Jha, Addl. P.P.

Order No:- 02, Dated:- 14th July, 2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Adityapur P.S. Case No.275 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under sections 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 324(4), 125(a), 115(2), 125(b), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons attempted to murder the informant and his family by assaulting them by means of stones. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the co-accused Naveen Kumar Singh has been admitted to bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 11.03.2026 in B.A. No. 11732 of 2025. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 05.05.2026, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 12 of the bail application. It is then submitted that though charge sheet has already been submitted but no witness has been examined in this case as yet and because of previous enmity, this false case has been foisted. It is further submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the co-accused person

with similar allegation has already been granted bail by this Court on ***13.03.2026*** passed in ***B.A. No. 114 of 2026***. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above-named petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of ***learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Seraikella***, in connection with ***Adityapur P.S. Case No.275 of 2025,*** with the condition that the petitioner will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated – 14.07.2026
Aditi