IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 6638 of 2026

Vikash Singh @ Bikash Singh, son of Manoj Singh
… … Petitioner

Versus

State of Jharkhand **… … Opposite Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vikash Kumar, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Ruby Pandey, APP

04/**31.07.2026** Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 05.09.2025 in connection with S.T. Case No.07 of 2026 arising out of Adityapur P.S. Case No.275 of 2025, registered under Sections 126(2)/ 127(2)/ 115(2)/117(2)/ 109/ 324(4)/ 125 (a)/125(b)/ 352/ 351(2) and 3 (5) of the B.N.S. Act 2023 and subsequently charge for the offence under Sections 191(2)/ 190, 191(3)/190, 115(2)/190, 117(2)/190, 324(4)/190, 352/190, 351(2)/190 of BNS, has been framed, now pending in the court of learned Principal District and Sessions Judge at Seraikella.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the bail application of the petitioner was rejected earlier on 11.03.2026 in B.A. No.76 of 2026. He submits that the petitioner has renewed his prayer for bail on the ground of custody as he is in custody since 05.09.2025. It is further submitted that as per the impugned order, charge was framed on 29.01.2026 and the prosecution has failed to produce even single witness till the passing of the impugned order dated 16.06.2026.

4. The learned counsel has also submitted that a number of co-accused, who are similarly situated, have been enlarged on bail by co-ordinate Benches of this Court, whose bail orders have been placed on record. He also submits that there is general and omnibus allegation upon all the accused persons including the petitioner.

5. After hearing the learned counsels for the parties and considering

the fact that the charge was framed in the month of January 2026 and that the prosecution has failed to produce even a single witness coupled with the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 05.09.2025 and that the co-accused has already been enlarged on bail, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees twenty-five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge at Seraikella in connection with S.T. Case No.07 of 2026 arising out of Adityapur P.S. Case No.275 of 2025, on the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors would be the present *pairvikar* of the petitioner.

(ii) The other bailor should be his close relative.

(iii) The petitioner shall not disturb the victim in any manner whatsoever.

(iv) The petitioner will attend the court on each and every date and on account of even single default, the learned court shall cancel the bail bond furnished by the petitioner.

(v) The petitioner will deposit a self-attested copy of his Aadhar Card along with his mobile number before the learned court which he will not change during the pendency of the case without prior permission of the court.

(vi) The petitioner shall fully co-operate with the proceedings before the learned trial court.

6. The instant application is allowed with the aforesaid conditions.

7. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the learned court concerned through “FAX/email”.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of Order:31.07.2026
Saurav
Date of Uploading:01.08.2026