IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 6155 of 2026

Sagar Munda @ Tanyo, aged about 23 years, son of Hemant Munda, resident of Village –Bagbera, Ranidih Gultu Jhopdi, P.O. & P.S. –Bagbera, Town –Jamshedpur, District –East Singhbhum, Jhrarkhand.

… Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ⋯ Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Anurag Kashyap, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Ruby Pandey, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 03.07.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with S.T. Case No.65 of 2026 arising out of Rajnagar P.S. Case No.111 of 2025, corresponding to G.R. Case No.124 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under sections 334(1) and 303(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner has committed theft of seven to eight thousand rupees, Bluetooth, headphone and other accessories by breaking the shutter of the shop of the informant and a neighbouring shop. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been implicated in this case on the basis of his confessional statement leading to recovery of I-Tel keypad but the alleged stolen keypad has not been put on Test Identification Parade as has been mentioned in para-9 of the bail application. It is then submitted that charge has already been framed but no witness has been examined by the trial court. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 31.01.2026, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 19 of the bail application. It is further

submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above-named petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Seraikella, in connection with S.T. Case No.65 of 2026 arising out of Rajnagar P.S. Case No.111 of 2025, corresponding to G.R. Case No.124 of 2026 with the condition that the petitioner will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

03.07.2026
Sonu/