IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 6157 of 2026

Binod Ravidas, Son of Late Lalu Ravidas … … **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand … … **Opp. Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Nilesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Sunil Kumar Dubey, Adv. **[through V.C.]**
For the informant : Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate

03/7th July 2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody in connection with Petarbar P.S. Case No. 02/2026 for the offence registered under Sections 80(2), 3(5) of BNS, now said to have been pending in the court of learned SDJM, Bermo at Tenughat.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner is the husband of the deceased, but he has been falsely implicated in this case. The learned counsel submits that the deceased died due to burn injury and was taken to the hospital. He submits that still the investigation is going on against Tetri Devi.

3. Learned counsel for the opposite party-State has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there are direct and specific allegations against the petitioner that he subjected the deceased to torture on account of non-fulfilment of demand for dowry. He submits that the marriage was solemnized on 08.07.2022 and the deceased died in her matrimonial home on 03.01.2026.

4. Upon a query of this Court, the learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the mother-in-law of the deceased, namely, Tetri Devi has not yet surrendered.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the direct and specific allegation made against the petitioner, who happens to

be the husband of the deceased and the fact that the wife of the petitioner suffered unnatural death in her matrimonial home within 3½ years of marriage and there is specific allegations against the petitioner that he subjected the deceased to torture on account of non-fulfilment of demand for dowry, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Hence, this bail application is hereby ***rejected***.

6. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the court concerned through 'e-mail/FAX'.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 07.07.2026
Uploaded On: 07.07.2026
Mukul/-