IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

L.P.A. No. 285 of 2016

Surendra Prasad Suman & Another ….. Appellants

Versus

State of Jharkhand & Others ….. Respondents

With

L.P.A. No. 309 of 2016

Chandra Mauli Prasad Sinha & Another ….. Appellants

Versus

State of Jharkhand & Others ….. Respondents

With

L.P.A. No. 314 of 2016

Niranjan Prasad Deo & Others ….. Appellants

Versus

State of Jharkhand & Others ….. Respondents

With

L.P.A. No. 319 of 2016

Surendra Prasad Suman & Another ….. Appellants

Versus

State of Bihar & Others ….. Respondents

With

L.P.A. No. 382 of 2016

Om Prakash & Another ….. Appellants

Versus

State of Jharkhand & Others ….. Respondents

With

L.P.A. No. 492 of 2016

Ashok Kumar Gupta & Others ….. Appellants

Versus

State of Jharkhand & Others ….. Respondents

CORAM

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Appellants: Mr. Sanjeet Nayak, Advocate
Mr. Rahul Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Manoj Tandon, Advocate

For the State: Mr. Sanjoy Kumar Tiwari, S.C.-I
Mr. Krishna Kumar Bhatt, A.C. to S.C.-I
Mr. Gaurang Jajodia, A.C. to G.P.-II

For the Respondents: Mr. Saurav Arun, Advocate
Mr. Bhanu Kumar No. 1, Advocate
Mr. Rohit Ranjan, Advocate

16/31.08.2026

1. Learned counsel for the appellants submit that the reliefs sought in these appeals have now been rendered infructuous because most of the appellants have already retired and others are on

the verge of retirement. They point out that the issue raised in these appeals pertains to finalization of inter-se seniority. Such finalization, at this stage, would make no difference once they have retired or are on the verge of retirement. It is submitted that some of the appellants have already secured promotion based on their respective seniority position in the seniority list.

2. By accepting the above submission, we dispose of these appeals as infructuous.

3. Pending interlocutory application(s) is/are disposed of.

(M. S. SONAK, C.J.)

(RAJESH SHANKAR, J.)

31.08.2026
Satish/Vikas/