(2026:JHHC:19252)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.6022 of 2026

Binit Khalkho @ Vineet Khalkho, aged about 31 years, S/o Suchit Khalkho, R/o Village-Gadri, Guru, P.O. + P.S.-Ratu, Dist.-Ranchi.

.... …. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjay Kr. Thakur, Advocate
: Mr. Sanjit Kumar, Advocate
: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Fahad Allam, Addl.P.P

Order No.02 Dated- 01-07-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with S.T. Case No.292 of 2026 arising out of Ratu P.S. Case No. 40 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 61(2), 238, 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 and Section 27 of Arms Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons has attempted to commit murder of Sandeep Toppo by firing upon him. It is next submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false. It is then submitted that the co-accused persons who instigated the petitioner to commit murder of Sandeep Toppo have been granted bail. It is also submitted that the charge has been framed in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant/victim or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 03.02.2026 as is evident from para-01 of this bail application. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State on the other hand vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that keeping in view

the direct allegation of serious nature against the petitioner of attempting to murder the son of the informant namely Sandeep Toppo by firing upon him, there is every chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with evidence, if released on bail. It is therefore submitted that the petitioner ought not be released on bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner and the chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with evidence, if released on bail, this Court is not inclined to admit the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above-named petitioner is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

01/07/2026
Abhiraj/