IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 5223 of 2026

Pinkesh Kumar @ Krishna Kumar son of Ram Dayal Prasad
… … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand **… … Opp. Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Praveen Shankar Dayal, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Shree Prakash Jha, A.P.P.
For the Informant : Ms. Shivani Jaluka, Advocate

05/**30.07.2026** Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody in connection with Mandu (Kujju) P.S. Case No. 211 of 2025, for the offences registered under Sections 376,323,506 of the IPC, pending in the court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ramgarh.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 05.05.2026 and charge sheet has been submitted on 27.05.2026 under Section 376/506 of the IPC. The learned counsel submits that as per the allegation in the FIR itself, the petitioner and the victim were known to each other since 2018 and the petitioner used to help the victim from time to time as and when required. However, it is alleged that the petitioner established physical relationship with the victim on the pretext of marriage and ultimately refused to marry. The learned counsel submits that the incident as mentioned in the complaint petition is relating to the period 2018-2023 and the complaint was lodged on 30.11.2023. The learned counsel submits that there was an element of consensual relationship between the parties.

4. Learned counsel for the informant and opposite party-State have opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that there is direct allegation against the petitioner.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the petitioner and the victim were known to

each other from the year 2018 as mentioned in the complaint itself and charge sheet having already been submitted, the petitioner above named is directed to be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rs. Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ramgarh, in connection with Mandu (Kujju) P.S. Case No. 211 of 2025, on the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors would be the present *pairvikar* of the petitioner.

(ii) The other bailor should be his close relative.

(iii) The petitioner will attend the court on each and every date and on account of his single default, the learned court shall cancel the bail bond furnished by the petitioner.

(iv) The petitioner will deposit a self-attested copy of his Aadhar Card along with his mobile number before the learned court which he will not change during the pendency of the case without prior permission of the court.

(v) The petitioner shall fully co-operate with the proceedings before the learned court below.

6. Let this order along with a copy of the affidavit filed with the bail petition be communicated to the court concerned through FAX/e-mail.

7. The instant bail application is allowed with the aforesaid conditions.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 30.07.2026

Uploaded on: 01.08.2026

Binit/