IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 3181 of 2026

Pranjal Sharma @ Pranjal Kaushal Sharma, son of Kaushal Markandey Sharma, aged about 33 years, resident of A-601, Vidyapati Chs Ltd. Parsi Panchayat Road, Opposite Sona Udyog, P.O. & P.S. Andheri East, District-Mumbai (Maharashtra) **... Petitioner**

-Versus-

The State of Jharkhand **... Opposite Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner : Mr. Jitendra S. Singh, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Prakash Jha, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Subodh Kumar Dubey, A.P.P.
For the Informant : Mr. Bibhash Sinha, Advocate
Mr. Ankit Vishal, Advocate

03/19.06.2026 Heard learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Dhurwa P.S. Case No.32/2026, registered for the offence under Sections 85, 318(4), 316(2), 61 of the BNS and Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, pending in the Court of the learned C.J.M., Ranchi.

3. Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner happened to be husband and the wife has lodged the FIR alleging that after the marriage, the petitioner has forced the informant to demand money from her parents for furnishing of the flat which was purchased by the petitioner in Pune recently. He further submits that in the FIR itself, the informant has stated that after marriage she was not gone to her matrimonial house. He next submits that the petitioner will co-operate in the investigation.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State and informant jointly opposed the prayer and submit that after the marriage, the petitioner has not taken the informant to his house and other articles of the informant has been kept

by the petitioner and his family members. Learned counsel appearing for the informant further adds that in spite of notice under Section 35(3) of the BNSS, the petitioner is not co-operating in the investigation.

5. In view of the above and considering the nature of allegation and further only allegation is made that the petitioner has forced the informant to get the flat in question be furnished through her parents and the allegation of torture is not there against the petitioner and in that view of the matter, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the learned Court within two weeks from today and the learned Court shall release the petitioner on such terms and conditions as the learned Court may deem fit and proper.

6. Accordingly, this application is disposed of.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated: 19th June, 2026
Ajay/