IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.5153 of 2026

Raju Ram @ Dhruv Jee, aged about 48 years, son of Late Dukhan Ram, resident of Village Hotai, P.O. Asehar, P.S. Panki, Dist. Palamau

.... …. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Ruby Pandey, Addl.P.P.

Order No:-03 Dated:-07-07-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Panki P.S. Case No.08 of 2024 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 557 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 386/387/414/34 of the Indian Penal Code, Sections 25(1-B)a, 26, 35 of the Arms Act and Section 17 of the C.L.A. Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner being a member of J.J.M.P., a banned extremist outfit, used to collect levy from the contractors. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been implicated in this case only on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused persons namely Dharmendra Kumar Bhuiyan, Satan Uraon, Jagan Uraon and Raju Sao. It is also submitted that except the confessional statement, there is no other material in the record to implicate the petitioner in this case. It is further submitted that though the charge sheet has been submitted against the petitioner and charge has already been framed but no witness has been examined as yet. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 04.10.2025 as is evident from para-1 of the instant bail application. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner undertakes to co-operate with the trial of the case and also

undertakes not to annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Palamau in connection with Panki P.S. Case No.08 of 2024 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 557 of 2024 **with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case and he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated:- 07.07.2026
Saroj/