[2026:JHHC:19992]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.5019 of 2026

Kalesh Gope @ Kaleshwar Gope aged about 34 years, S/o- Jitu Gope R/o- Village Hulsi P.O. Jarai P.S. Bero, District- Ranchi (Jharkhand) .... …. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Suraj Kishore Prasad, Advocate
Ms. Ayushi Aman, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Achinto Sen, Addl.P.P

Order No.03 Dated-07-07-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Sisai P.S. Case No.84 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Section 309 (4) of the B.N.S., 2023.

This is the second journey of the petitioner with the self-same prayer as earlier the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner was rejected on merit vide order dated 27.01.2026 passed in B.A. No.9644 of 2025.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the fresh ground is that though the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. yet without putting him on Test Identification Parade as has been mentioned in para-11 of the instant bail application, charge-sheet has been submitted against him and no witness has been examined as yet. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. Drawing attention of this Court towards para-14 of the instant bail application, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that only because a looted mobile phone was recovered from his possession, hence, the petitioner has been implicated in this case

with the aid of the confessional statement of the co-accused persons. It is then submitted that the petitioner undertakes that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 18.07.2025 as has been mentioned in para-01 of the instant bail application. Hence it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub **Divisional** Judicial Magistrate, Gumla in connection with Sisai P.S. Case No.84 of 2025 **with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case, furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case and will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated-07.07.2026-Animesh/

[2026:JHHC:19990]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.4998 of 2026

Roki Nayak, aged about 24, S/o Manoj Nayak, R/o Goshai Toli Chutia, P.O. & P.S. Chutia, Dist- Ranchi, Jharkhand – 834001. Adhar no.768300601430 .... …. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Om Prakash, Advocate
Ms. Aliza, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Someshwar Roy, Addl.P.P

Order No.03 Dated-07-07-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Chutia P.S. Case No.282 of 2024 (Session Trial Case No.771 of 2025) registered for the offences punishable under Section 303 (2), 317 (2), 317 (4), 317 (5), 112 of the B.N.S., 2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner has committed theft of aluminum wire from the transformer and he was also involved in sell and purchase of stolen articles. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is next submitted that though no witness has been examined as yet as has been mentioned in para-4 of the Supplementary Affidavit, yet charge-sheet has already been submitted in this case. It is also submitted that the co-accused, with similar allegations, have already been admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 14.05.2026 passed in B.A. No.4089 of 2026. It is then submitted that the petitioner undertakes that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since