Neutral Citation No. (2026:JHHC:26859)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B. A. No. 5613 of 2026

Awkash Tete @ Akash Tete … … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand … … Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Mohit Prakash, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : A.P.P.

3/07.09.2026 Heard Mr. Mohit Prakash, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is an accused in connection with S. T. No. 127 of 2025 arising out of Simdega P.S. Case No. 44 of 2025 corresponding to G. R. No. 292 of 2025.

The prayer for bail of the petitioner was earlier rejected in B. A. No. 1956 of 2026.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that subsequent to the rejection of the bail of the petitioner, one of the co-accused namely, Arpit Arnav has been granted bail by a coordinate Bench of this court in B. A. No. 1421 of 2026. It has further been submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 14.10.2025 and out of 27 witnesses, only 5 witnesses have been examined so far. Learned counsel while referring to the supplementary affidavit has submitted that none of the witnesses have taken the name of the petitioner in the commission of offence.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Regard being had to the fact that one of the co-accused has been granted bail subsequently apart from the fact that there is no chance of conclusion of trial in the near future, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the petitioner above named is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge I, Simdega in connection with S. T. No. 127 of 2025 arising out of Simdega P.S. Case No. 44 of 2025 corresponding to G. R. No. 292 of 2025, subject to the condition that he shall remain physically present before the trial court on each and every date till the conclusion of trial, unless prevented by sufficient cause.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)

R. Shekhar Cp 3