IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(C) No. 4941 of 2026

Sadguru SantSevi Park and Museum Private Limited, a Special Purpose Vehicle having its registered office at 17, Ganesh Chandra Avenue, 6th Floor, Room no. 605, Dharmatala, P.O. & P.S. Dharamatala, Kolkata, West Bengal through its authorized signatory Nilesh Kumar, Aged 43 years S/o Narayan Mohan Ghosh, resident of Raj Mansion, Ground Floor, Atmanand Singh Road, Gali No. 4, near Laxmi Pharma, Kusai, Doranda, P.O. & P.S. Doranda, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand.

... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Jharkhand Urban Infrastructure Development Company Limited, (JUIDCO) through its CMD having its office at Room no. 411, Urban Development and Housing Department, Project Building, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand and presently operating from JUDICO Bhawan near Kutchery Chowk, P.O. G.P.O, P.S. Kotwali, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand.
3. Project Director (Technical) JUIDCO, having its office at JUDICO Bhawan near Kutchery Chowk, P.O. GP.O, P.S. Kotwali, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand.
4. Project Director (Financial), JUIDCO, having its office at JUDICO Bhawan near Kutchery Chowk, P.O. GP.O, P.S. Kotwali, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand.
5. Project Director (Administration) having its office at JUDICO Bhawan near Kutchery Chowk, P.O. G.P.O, P.S. Kotwali, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand.
6. Deputy Project Director (Financial), JUIDCO, having its office at JUDICO Bhawan near Kutchery Chowk, P.O. G.P.O, P.S. Kotwali, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner: Mr. Chandrajit Mukherjee, Advocate (through V.C.)
For the State: Mr. Vibhor Mayank, A.C. to A.G.
For the JUIDCO: Mr. Krishna Murari, Advocate
Mr. Raj Vardhan, Advocate

03/Dated: 17.08.2026

1. After some arguments, Mr Chandrajit Mukherjee, the learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw this petition with liberty to seek redressal through the mode of arbitration since, there is an arbitration clause in the agreement between the parties.

2. Mr Krishna Murari, the learned counsel for the respondents-JUIDCO fairly points out that the arbitration agreement is contained in Clause 22.3 of the agreement dated 22.05.2023 between the parties. Mr Murari, points out that in view of the arbitration clause, we should not entertain this petition and relegate the petitioner to avail of the remedy of arbitration.

3. Accordingly, we grant leave to withdraw this petition with liberty as prayed for.

4. All contentions of all parties are left open since we have not examined such rival contentions in this matter.

5. Pending Interlocutory Applications, if any, do not survive and are disposed of.

(M. S. Sonak, C.J.)

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

August 17, 2026
N.A.F.R.
APK/VK
Uploaded on 18.08.2026