IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.4138 of 2026

Asgar Ansari, aged about 24 yrs. S/o Md. Khatir Ansari, R/o Village Chandudih, P.O. Gortoppa, P.S. Govindpur, Dist. Dhanbad.

.... …. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Shailesh Kr. Singh, Advocate
Mr. Abhijeet Kr. Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Ajay Kr. Pathak, Addl.P.P.

Order No:-03 Dated:-01-07-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Govindpur P.S. Case No.289 of 2025 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 2786 of 2025 (S.T. Case No.525 of 2025) registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 238, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

This is the second journey of the petitioner with the prayer for regular bail. Earlier the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner was rejected on merit by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 21.11.2025 passed in B.A. No. 8278 of 2025.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the fresh ground is that in the meanwhile 3 out of 14 witnesses have been examined during the trial of the case and the PW-2 and 3 have stated that the petitioner made a extra-judicial confession of having committed the murder of the deceased Sanaul Ansari. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is then submitted that there is no eye-witness to the occurrence. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 08.06.2025 as is evident from para-1 of the instant bail application. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State on the other hand opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that keeping in view the evidence that has already come on record against the petitioner by way of extra-judicial confession, there is every chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner ought not to be released on bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner as well as chance of his absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail, as also the evidence that has already come on record against the petitioner by way of extra-judicial confession; this Court is not inclined to admit the bail of the above named petitioner at this stage.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above named petitioner is rejected at this stage.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated:- 01.07.2026
Saroj/