IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
S.A. No. 477 of 2017

Krishna Devi @ Prema Devi Sharma, Wife of Late Amar Kumar Sharma, resident of Sharma Building 264, Purulia Road, Kantatoli, P.O. & P.S.- Lower Bazar, District - Ranchi.

..... … Appellants

Versus

1. Vijay Sharma
2. Ajay Sharma
3. Nisha Sharma

Nos. 1 to 3 are illegitimate sons of and daughter of Late Amar Kumar Sharma

4. Samir Kumar Shara, Son of Late Amar Kumar Sharma
5. Sheela Basir nee Sharma, daughter of Late Amar Kumar Sharma, wife of Md. Basir
6. Kumari Seema Sharma @ Guria @ Tuki
7. Kumar Hema Sharma

Nos. 6 and 7 are daughters of Late Amar Kumar Sharma

8. Rajesh Sharma @ Gullu
9. Vikram Sharma

Nos. 8 and 9 are sons of Late Amar Kumar Sharma

All are resident of Sharma Building 264, Purulia Road, Kantatoli, P.O. and P.S. Lower Bazar, District-Ranchi.

..... … Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Appellants : None.
For the Respondents : None.

12/ 08.07.2026 This second appeal has been filed being aggrieved and dissatisfied with the judgment dated 08.06.2017 and the decree following thereupon signed on 16.06.2017, passed in Title Appeal No. 38 of 2016, by the learned A.J.C.-VII, Ranchi, by which, the said

appeal has been allowed by way of setting aside the judgment dated 26.08.2016 and the decree signed on 02.09.2016, passed in Title Suit No. 45 of 1992, by the learned Civil Judge-VI (Senior Division), Ranchi.

2. Nobody has responded on behalf of the appellants and the respondents in spite of repeated calls.

3. Adjournment was taken on 29.06.2020 for four weeks and further on 02.11.2022, the time was taken and by order dated 24.07.2024, notice has been issued on the limitation point. Further adjournment was granted by the order dated 25.10.2024 at the cost of Rs. 1,000/- and further on 28.11.2024, the time was also taken at the cost of Rs. 2,000/- and further adjournment was taken on 11.02.2026 and also on 18.02.2026 and 19.02.2026.

4. In spite of aforesaid opportunities provided to the learned counsel appearing for appellant, the appellant has not been represented by any counsel and further the counsel for the respondents is also not present.

5. It appears that the appellant has lost interest in the present second appeal, as such, this second appeal is hereby, dismissed.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-08.07.2026
Amitesh/-