IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cont. Case (Civil) No. 326 of 2026

Anand Brijia, son of Mahendra Brijia, resident of Village Henar, P.O.- Baresandh, P.S.- Garu, District- Latehar

... ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Sri Dinesh Kumar Mishra, Circle Officer, Garu, District- Latehar .... Opp. Parties

With

Cont. Case (Civil) No. 328 of 2026

Pragash Kisan, son of Chotahi Kisan, resident of Village Henar, P.O.- Baresandh, P.S.- Garu, District- Latehar

... ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Sri Dinesh Kumar Mishra, Circle Officer, Garu, District- Latehar .... Opp. Parties

With

Cont. Case (Civil) No. 329 of 2026

Junan Brijia, son of Patras Brijia, resident of Village Henar, P.O.- Baresandh, P.S.- Garu, District- Latehar

... ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Sri Dinesh Kumar Mishra, Circle Officer, Garu, District- Latehar .... Opp. Parties

With

Cont. Case (Civil) No. 332 of 2026

Mahabir Kisan, son of Nanhuk Kisan, resident of Village Henar, P.O. & P.S. Baresanr, District- Latehar

... ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Sri Dinesh Kumar Mishra, Circle Officer, Garu, District- Latehar .... Opp. Parties

With

Cont. Case (Civil) No. 333 of 2026

Mohan Kisan, son of Demba Kishan, resident of Village Henar, P.O.- Baresandh, P.S.- Garu, District- Latehar

... ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Sri Dinesh Kumar Mishra, Circle Officer, Garu, District- Latehar .... Opp. Parties

With
Cont. Case (Civil) No. 334 of 2026

Mangari Devi, wife of Rajendra Kisan, resident of Village Henar, P.O.- Baresanr, P.S.- Garu, District- Latehar
... ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Sri Dinesh Kumar Mishra, Circle Officer, Garu, District- Latehar .... Opp. Parties

With
Cont. Case (Civil) No. 335 of 2026

Dhneshwar Kisan, son of Mahru Kisan resident of Village Henar Garu Dhangartola, P.O. & P.S. - Garu, District- Latehar ... ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Sri Dinesh Kumar Mishra, Circle Officer, Garu, District- Latehar .... Opp. Parties

With
Cont. Case (Civil) No. 336 of 2026

Satendar Kishan, son of Puran Kisan, resident of Village Henar, P.O.- Baresandh, P.S.- Garu, District- Latehar
... ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Sri Dinesh Kumar Mishra, Circle Officer, Garu, District- Latehar .... Opp. Parties

With
Cont. Case (Civil) No. 337 of 2026

Sulendra Kishan, son of Choyath Kisan, resident of Village Henar, P.O.- Baresandh, P.S.- Garu, District- Latehar
... ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Sri Dinesh Kumar Mishra, Circle Officer, Garu, District- Latehar .... Opp. Parties

With
Cont. Case (Civil) No. 338 of 2026

Mukesh Kisan, son of Sahla Kisan, resident of Village Henar, P.O.- Baresandh, P.S.- Garu, District- Latehar
... ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Sri Dinesh Kumar Mishra, Circle Officer, Garu, District- Latehar .... Opp. Parties

With
Cont. Case (Civil) No. 339 of 2026

Baleshwar Oraon, son of Sitwa Oraon, resident of Village Henar, P.O. & P.S.- Baresandh, District- Latehar
... ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Sri Dinesh Kumar Mishra, Circle Officer, Garu, District- Latehar .... Opp. Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioners : Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opp. Party No. 2 : Mr. Rakesh Kumar Roy A.C. to G.A.-III (in all cases)

Order No. 03 **Dated: 18.07.2026**

1. These contempt petitions have been filed for initiation of contempt proceeding as against the opposite party no. 2 alleging willful violation of order dated 27.03.2025 passed by this Court in W.P.(C) No. 286 of 2025.

2. Mr. Rakesh Kumar Roy, learned A.C. to G.A.-III, produces a copy of order dated 23.06.2025 passed by the opposite party no.2 and submits that vide said order, the representations of the petitioners have been considered and their request for issuance of online rent receipts for the lands in question has been rejected.

3. It is thus submitted that the aforesaid order of this Court has been complied.

4. As against this, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the opposite party no. 2 has arbitrarily rejected the petitioners' request for issuance of online rent receipts for the lands in question.

5. Be that as it may.

6. Since the opposite party no. 2 has already passed a reasoned order dated 23.06.2025, there is no need to further proceed in the present contempt matters.

7. The contempt proceedings as against the opposite party no. 2 are hereby dropped.

8. The present contempt petitions are accordingly disposed of.

9. The petitioners are, however, at liberty to take appropriate recourse as permissible under law for redressal of their subsisting grievance including putting challenge to the order dated 23.06.2025 passed by the opposite party no.2.

10. Let a copy of order dated 23.06.2025 passed by the opposite party no. 2 be kept on record.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

July 18, 2026
Ritesh/
Uploaded on 20.07.2026