[2026:JHHC:22027]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No.2104 of 2026

Julekha Bibi, aged about 39 years, Wife of Maksud Ansari, Resident of Village-Mundradih, P.O. & P.S.-Bengabad, Dist.-Giridih.

.... …. Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjay Prasad, Advocate.
Mr. Kamdeo Pandey, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Sudhir Kumar Mahato, Addl.P.P
For the Informant : Mr. Govind Ray Karan, Advocate.
Mr. Nagmani Tiwari, Advocate.

Order No.02 Dated- 24-07-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved before this Court for grant of bail in connection with Bengabad P.S. Case No. 130 of 2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons committed murder of Anaul Ansari. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner the main assailant is Maksud Ansari and the petitioner was only supporting the main assailant and in her statement before the police, the deceased has only taken the name by feebly speaking Maksud Ansari. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is then submitted that the petitioner is a female. It is now submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 12.10.2025 as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 13 and also has no criminal antecedents as mentioned in para 14 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. as well as learned counsel for the informant

on the other hand vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that keeping in view the fact that, there is direct allegation against the petitioner, that the petitioner has also assaulted the deceased -Anaul Ansari with sharp cutting weapon resulting in his death and there is every chance of the petitioner absconding or tampering with evidence, if released on bail; the petitioner ought not be released on bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner and that there is every chance of the petitioner of absconding or tampering with evidence, if released on bail, this Court is not inclined to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above-named petitioner is **rejected**.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

24/07/2026
Amar/