IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(S) No. 4153 of 2026

.....

Innocent Minz, aged about 42 Years, son of Late Stanisla Minz, resident of Village-Sogra Kheduwa Toli, P.O.- Sikariatanr, P.S. Pakartanr, District-Simdega, Jharkhand. .... **Petitioner(s)**

-VERSUS-

1. The State of Jharkhand, through Secretary/Principal Secretary School Education and Literacy Department, Having Office at Project Building, P.O.+P.S-Dhurwa, District-Ranchi, Jharkhand.
2. The Director Primary School Education and Literacy Department Government of Jharkhand, Having its office at- Project Building, Dhurwa, P.O. + P.S. Dhurwa, Ranchi.
3. The Director Higher Education Department Government of Jharkhand, Having its office at- Project Building, Dhurwa, P.O. + P.S. Dhurwa, Ranchi.
4. The Deputy Commissioner, Simdega, P.O. and P.S. Simdega, District- Simdega.
5. The District Superintendent of Education Simdega, P.O. and P.S. Simdega, District- Simdega.

.....Respondent (s)

.....

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN**

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Mukesh Kr. Mehta, Adv
For the Respondent (s) : Mr. Anish Kr. Mishra, A.C. to Sr. S.C.-I

.....

2/06.07.2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioner for the following reliefs;

before this Hon'ble Court for following relief:-

> a*) For Issuance of an appropritae writ, order/(s) or direction/(s) in the nature of Mandamus, commanding the Respondent No. 5 namely The District Superintendent of Education Simdega to conclude the enquiry initiate pursuant to the direction of the resondent no. 4 namely The Deputy Commissioner, Simdega in light of letter no. 1242 dated 19-07-2025 (Annexure-2) within a stipulated period in the interest of Justice.*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner is fully entitled for the prayer made in the instant writ application; as such, he submits that this case may be disposed of by giving liberty to the petitioner to file fresh representation before the concerned respondent for redressal of his grievance.

4. Learned counsel for the respective respondents fairly submits that since no counter affidavit has been filed; as such, the petitioner may approach the concerned respondent for redressal of his grievance.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid submissions and looking to the prayer made in the instant writ application, the same is hereby disposed of by directing the petitioner to approach the 2nd respondent by filing a fresh representation along with all relevant documents. The moment 2nd respondent receives the representation; he shall take a decision in the matter with regard to the claim raised in the writ application and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 10 weeks from the date of receipt of such representation.

6. Accordingly, the instant writ application stands disposed of.

(Deepak Roshan, J)

06.07.2026

Amardeep/

Uploaded on
8.7.2026