**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**

**W.P.(S) No. 262 of 2024**

---

1. Bijay Tigga, aged about 27 years, Son of Ska Tigga, Resident of Village Murto, P.O- Murto, P.S-Bedo, District-Ranchi

2. Chhotu Sahu, aged about 29 years, Son of Mahesh Sahu, Resident of Village Idre Murto, P.O-Murto, P.S-Bero, District- Ranchi. …… Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Prison and disaster Management, having its office at Project Building, P.O.& P.S.- Dhurwa, District- Ranchi.

2. The Director General of Home Guard, having its office at Rajendra Chowk, P.O.& P.S.- Doranda, District-Ranchi.

3. The Commandant, Home Guard -Cum- Fire Fighting Services, Jharkhand, Ranchi, having its office at Rajendra Chowk, P.O.& P.S. Doranda, District-Ranchi.

4. The Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi, having its office at Collectorate Building, P.O.- G.P.O, P.S. Kotwali, District-Ranchi

5. The Senior- Superintendent of Police, Ranchi, having its office at Collectorate Building, P.O.- G.P.O, P.S. Kotwali, District- Ranchi

6. The District Commandant, Home Guard, Ranchi having its office at Harmu, P.O.- Harmu, P.S.-Argora, District-Ranchi …….Respondent(s)

with

**W.P.(S) No. 09 of 2023**

---

1. Anoj Kumar Sinha, aged about 29 years, Son of Nagendra Prasad, Resident of Q.R No. D.T 2451, Pani Tanki Side, Dhurwa, P.O & P.S- Dhurwa, District- Ranchi
2. Sunil Kumar, aged about 26 years, Son of Late Laxmi Malik Resident of Ward No. 48. Doranda, P.O & P.S- Doranda, District-Ranchi
3. Dolly Kumari Gupta, aged about 26 years, Daughter of Jaishankar Prasad, Resident of Dhawan Nagar, Kanke Road, P.O- Gandhi Nagar, P.S- Gonda, District- Ranchi
4. Sujeet Kumar Thakur aged about 24 years, Son of Late Ram Ashish Thakur, Resident of Panchvati Colony, Chutiya, P.OG.P.O, P.S-Chutiya, District- Ranchi
5. Parwati Kumari, aged about 25 years, Daughter of Atwa Oraon, Resident of Village Mari Darmi Toli, (Manri), P.O- Koysara, P.S Lapung, District- Ranchi
6. Ram Kumar Bhagat, aged about 32 years, Son of Late Rajendra Prasad Gaderi, Resident of Village Near club Hethkonki, P.O Echapiri, P.S- Pithauriya, District- Ranchi
7. Rajesh Kumar, aged about 26 years, Son of Shankar Prasad Gupta, Resident of B.S.P School, Sector-2, Side-5, Dhurwa, P.O & P.S- Dhurwa, District Ranchi
8. Sarita Hemrom, aged about 28 years, Daughter of Birsa Hemrom, Resident of Bajra Bara Toli, Bajra, P.O- Hehal, P.S- Sukhdeo Nagar ( Pandra O.P), District- Ranchi
9. Anisha Kumari, aged about 27 years, Daughter of Rijhuwa Oraon, Resident of Kumba Toli, Bariyatu, Hehal, P.O- Hehal, P.S- Sukhdeo Nagar (Pandra O.P), District- Ranchi
10. Laxmi Mandal, aged about 26 years, Daughter of Late Gango Mandal Resident of C.C.L Darbhanga House, P.O- G.P.O, P.S- Kotwali, District Ranchi

11. Ravi Kumar Pandey aged about 28 years, Son of Sridhar Pandey, Resident of Near Hanuman Mandir, Chutia, P.O & P.S- Chutia, District-Ranchi

12. Neeraj Singh aged about 26 years, Son of Shyam Singh, Resident of Ketari Bagan, Road No.3, Chutia, P.O & P.S- Chutia, District- Ranchi

13. Savitiri Kumari, aged about 29 years, Daughter of Bhushan Mahto, Resident of Village-Ragrabaranga, P.O-Baredih, P.S- Tamar, District Ranchi

14.Sunita Kumari, aged about 29 years, Daughter of Jaydev Mahto, Resident of Village & P.O-Baredih, P.S-Tamar, District- Ranchi

15.Chandan Kumar Thakur, aged about 28 years, Son of Umesh Thakur, Resident of Azad Hind Nagar, Near Home Guard Office, Harmu, P.O & P.S- Harmu, District Ranchi

16. Kunwar Beck, aged about 30 years, Son of Late Smail Beck, Resident of Village Tengariya, P.O Garhagaon, P.S-Bero, District- Ranchi

17.Holika Kumari, aged about 29 years, Daughter of Ghanshyam Mahto, Resident of Village-Dimbudih, P.O-Baredih, P.S- Tamar, District-Ranchi

18. Sonali Rajwar, aged about 26 years, Daughter of Ganesh Rajwar, Resident of Jagannathpur, Mosi Bari, Gol Chakkar, P.O & P.S- Dhurwa, District Ranchi

19. Poornima Kumari, aged about 26 years, Daughter of Karam Singh Munda, Resident of Aajad Basti, Harmu Housing Colony, P.O-Harmu, P.S- Argoda, District- Ranchi

20. Dharmendra Mahto, aged about 27 years, Son of Chandra Mohan Mahto, Resident of Village-Baruhatu, P.O- Humta, P.S- Bundu, District-Ranchi

21.Juhi Kumari, aged about 33 years, Daughter of Pyari Munda, Resident of Village- Arradih, P.O-Edalhatu, P.S-Bundu, District Ranchi

22.Prem Kumar Thakur, aged about 31 years, Son of Umesh Thakur, Resident of Azad Hind Nagar, Near Home Guard Office, Harmu, P.O Harmu, P.S- Argora, District-Ranchi

23. Md. Nasim Alam, aged about 35 years, Son of Nabi Alam, Resident of Near Nurani Maszid, Azad Hind Nagar, Harmu, P.O & P.S- Harmu, District- Ranchi

24. Jinita Barla, aged about 28 years, Daughter of Sagen Barla, Resident of Village Sarangloya, P.O- Lete, P.S-Lapung, District Ranchi

25. Pradeep Kumar Mahto, aged about 32 years, Son Parmeshwar Mahto, Resident of Purana Chowk, P.O-Argora, P.S- Argoda, District-of Ranchi

26.Birendra Mahto, aged about 35 years, Son of Budhram Mahto, Resident of Village- Taw Balading, P.O & P.S-Bundu, District- Ranchi

27. Radha Krishan Dubey aged about 27 years, Son of Yadunath Dubey, Resident of Harmu Housing Colony, P.O Harmu, P.S- Argora, District-Ranchi

28. Angani Kachhap, aged about 27 years, Daughter of Bande Kachhap, Resident of Village Chutu Toli, Puriyo, P.O- Puriyo, P.S- Bero, District-Ranchi

29. Biraj Toppo, aged about 31 years, Son of Basant Toppo, Resident of Village Nandi Tola, P.O-Ghaghra, Nadi Toli, P.S- Bero, District-Ranchi

30. Uma Kujur, aged about 32 years, Daughter of Mangal Oraon, Resident of Village Ulida, P.O-Nawadih, P.S-Bundu, District Ranchi

31. Sumit Kumar Dubey, aged about 30 years, Son of Awadhesh Kumar Dubey, Resident of Dubey Flower Mill, Harmu Housing Colony, P.O & P.S- Argora, District Ranchi

32. Om Kumar, aged about 29 years, Son of Bijay Prasad Saw, Resident of Birsa Chowk, Station Road Hatia, P.O-Hatia, P.S- Jagganathpur, District Ranchi

33. Laxman Kumar Mahto, aged about 32 years, Son of Madan Mahto, Resident of North Office Para, Doranda, P.O & P.S- Doranda, District-Ranchi

34. Bisheshwar Mahto, aged about 30 years, Son of Firan Mahto, Resident of Village Dhumsa Toli, Chutia, P.O & P.S- Chutia, District Ranchi

35. Laharu Mahto, aged about 35 years, Son of Late Debi Dayal Mahto, Resident of Village Dhumsa Toli, Chutia, P.O & P.S- Chutia, District-Ranchi

36.Bhriguram Mahto, aged about 29 years, Son of Devendra Nath Mahto, Resident of Village-Bardih Parasi, P.O- Parasi, P.S- Tamar, District- Ranchi

37.Mamta Kumari, aged about 27 years, Daughter of Arvind Sahu, Resident of Village Baredih, P.O- Baredih, P.S- Tamar, District- Ranchi

38. Nalita Kumari, aged about 26 years, Daughter of Chatur Mahto, Resident of Village-Bhithudih, P.O-Baredih, P.S- Tamar, District-Ranchi

39. Abhimanyu Kumar aged about 29 years, Son of Krishna Kumar Yadav, Resident of Q.R No. Naya S.T 702, Dhurwa, P.O & P.S- Dhurwa, District- Ranchi

40.Dabloo Ram aged about 30 years, Son of Maheshwar Ram, Resident of Harmu Housing Colony, Shivaji Nagar, P.O. Harmu, P.S Argora, District- Ranchi

41. Sukhdeo Oraon, aged about 26 years, Son of Somra Oraon, Resident of Village Etta Childri, P.O- Etta, P.S- Bero, District Ranchi

42.Rajesh Kumar @ Rajesh Rajak, aged about 33 years, Son of Kesho Rajak, Resident of House No. 352, Shree Nagar, Harmu, P.O Harmu, P.S- Argoda, District- Ranchi

43. Chintu Kumar @ Chintu Kumar Thakur, aged about 30 years, Son of Bhola Thakur, Resident of Panchwati Railway Colony, Chutia, P.O & P.S- Chutia, District Ranchi

44. Ashok Swansi aged about 29 years, Son of Kishun Swansi, Resident of Village Birgaon, P.O-Sarjamdih, P.S- Tamar, District- Ranchi

45.Naumi Kumari, aged about 32 years, Daughter of Sanduwa Oraon, Resident of Village Deogaon, Ghaghri Toli, P.O-Deogaon, P.S- Lapung, District Ranchi

46. Shiva Kumar Paswan aged about 26 years, Son of Ramu Paswan, Resident of Pul Toli, Kadru, P.O-Doranda, P.S- Argora, District- Ranchi

47.Shailendra Mahto, aged about 27 years, Son of Muchiram Mahto, Resident of Village Dubla, P.O Lalgarhi, P.S- Tamar, District- Ranchi. … Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Prison and disaster Management, having its office at Project Building, P.O.& P.S.- Dhurwa, District- Ranchi.

2. The Director General of Home Guard, having its office at Rajendra Chowk, P.O.& P.S. Doranda, District- Ranchi.

3. The Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi, having its office at Collectorate Building, P.O.- G.P.O, P.S. - Kotwali, District- Ranchi

4. The Senior- Superintendent of Police, Ranchi, having its office at Collectorate Building, P.O.- G.P.O, P.S. -Kotwali, District- Ranchi

5. The District Commandant, Home Guard, Ranchi, having its office at Harmu, P.O.- Harmu, P.S.-Argora, District- Ranchi .....Respondent(s)

with

**W.P.(S) No. 1278 of 2023**

---

1. Munna Kachhap, aged about 31 years, S/o Sundar Kachhap, R/o Near Govt. School, Kathar, Gonda, Indrapuri Road No 6, Ratu Road, P.O.- Indrapuri, P.S.- Sukhdeonagar, District-Ranchi 834005;

2. Priti Kacchap, aged about 29 years, D/o Sukra Kacchhap, R/o Mission Gali, Kanke Road, P.O. & P.S.- Kanke, District- Ranchi 834008;

3. Sunita Kumari, aged about 29 years, D/o Nanhu Oraon, R/o Naya Toli, Pandra, Hehal, P.O.- Hehal, P.S. Sukhdev Nagar, District- Ranchi 834005;

4. Mickey Gari, aged about 31 years, D/o Siban Gari, R/o Gari Gaon, Pahan Toli, P.O. & P.S.-835217; Hotwar, District- Ranchi

5. Shardanand Kumar, aged about 28 years, S/o Tarkeshwar Saw, R/o House No 176, Vidya Nagar, Karam Chowk, P.O.-Harmu, P.S.- Argora, District- Ranchi 834002;

6. Mohammad Kalam Ansari, aged about 37 years, S/o Islam Ansari, R/o Friends Colony, Near Masjid, Bhitha Basti, Kanke Road, P.O. Gandhi Nagar, P.S.-Gonda, District- Ranchi 834008;

7. Karan Mahto, aged about 27 years, S/o Bhaktu Mahto, R/o Village- Pundag, P.O. Pundag, P.S. Jagarnathpur, District-Ranchi 83400;.

8. Sambhu Kumar, aged about 28 years, S/o Ram Bilash Sharma, R/o Mahavir Nagar, Harmu Housing Colony, P.O.-Harmu, P.S.- Argora, District- Ranchi 834002.

... ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Prison and Disaster Management, having its office at Project Building, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, District-Ranchi;

2. The Director General of Home Guard, having its office at Rajendra Chowk, P.O. & P.S. Doranda, District-Ranchi;

3. The Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi, having its office at Collectorate Building, P.O. G.P.O., P.S. Kotwali, District-Ranchi;

4. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Ranchi, having its office at Collectorate Building, P.O. G.P.O., P.S. Kotwali, District-Ranchi;

5. The District Commandant, Home Guard, Ranchi, having its office at Harmu, P.O.- Harmu, P.S.- Argora, District- Ranchi. .....Respondent(s)

with

**W.P.(S) No. 64 of 2024**

---

1. Ashwatthama Mahto, aged about 27 years, Son of Shyam Sundar Mahto, Resident of Village- Diuri Tola, Jethadih, P.O- Amlesha, P.S- Tamar, District- Ranchi

2. Santoshi Kumari, aged about 27 years, Daughter of Dhaneshwar Mahto, Resident of Village- Tola Jethadih, Diuri, P.O- Amlesha, P.S- Tamar, District- Ranchi

3. Abhiram Mahto, aged about 30 years, Son of Krishna Mahto, Resident of Village Jojodih, P.O- Ulidih, P.S- Tamar, District Ranchi

4. Satyendra Mahato, aged about 29 years, Son of Shobha Ram Mahato, Resident of Village Jojodih, P.O- Ulidih, P.S- Tamar, District Ranchi

5. Shiv Kumari, aged about 40 years, Daughter of Jaggatpal Ram, Resident of Village Malsiring, P.O- Malsirig, P.S- Pithoria, District- Ranchi

... ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Prison and disaster Management, having its office at Project Building, P.O.& P.S.- Dhurwa, District- Ranchi.

2. The Director General of Home Guard, having its office at Rajendra Chowk, P.O.& P.S. Doranda, District-Ranchi.

3. The Commandant, Home Guard -Cum- Fire Fighting Services, Jharkhand, Ranchi, having its office at Rajendra Chowk, P.O.& P.S.- Doranda, District-Ranchi.

4. The Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi, having its office at Collectorate Building, P.O.- G.P.O, P.S. Kotwali, District- Ranchi

5. The Senior- Superintendent of Police, Ranchi, having its office at Collectorate Building, P.O.- G.P.O, P.S. Kotwali, District- Ranchi

6. The District Commandant, Home Guard, Ranchi having its office at Harmu, P.O.- Harmu, P.S.-Argora, District- Ranchi .....Respondent(s)

with

**W.P.(S) No. 95 of 2024**

---

1. Dewanti Kumari, aged about 31 years, W/o Shailendra Kumar, R/o Vikash Nagar, Hehal, P.O. & P.S.- Hehal, District-Ranchi 834005;

2. Anoj Kumar Singh, aged about 28 years, S/o Mahanand Singh, R/o MIG- D/25, Behind Harmu Ground, P.O. & P.S.-Doranda, District- Ranchi 834002;

8. Shailendra Kumar, aged about 40 years, S/o Bhagwan Sahu, R/o H No. 780/K1, Vikash Nagar, P.O. & P.S. Hehal, District-Ranchi 834005;

9. Jayanti Kumari, aged about 34 years, W/o Dilip Kumar, R/o Devi Mandap Road, Hehal, P.O. & P.S.- Hehal, District- Ranchi 834005;

10. Ranjan Kumar Singh, aged about 32 years, S/o Umeshwar Singh, R/o Ward No. 14, Chutia, P.O. & P.S.- Chutia, District-Ranchi 834001;

11. Saket Kumar, aged about 30 years, S/o Bijendra Kumar Bhuiya, R/o Ward No. 24, Garikhana, P.O.- GPO, P.S.- Kotwali, District- Ranchi 834001;

12. Mukesh Kumar, aged about 30 years, S/o Ram Bhawan, R/o Harmu Housing Colony, P.O.- Harmu, P.S. Argora, District-Ranchi 834002

... ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Prison and Disaster Management, having its office at Project Building, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, District-Ranchi;

2. The Director General of Home Guard, having its office at Rajendra Chowk, P.O. & P.S. Doranda, District-Ranchi;

3. The Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi, having its office at Collectorate Building, P.O. G.P.O., P.S. Kotwali, District-Ranchi;

4. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Ranchi, having its office at Collectorate Building, P.O. G.P.O., P.S. Kotwali, District-Ranchi;

5. The District Commandant, Home Guard, Ranchi, having its office at Harmu, P.O.- Harmu, P.S.- Argora, District- Ranchi. .....Respondent(s)

with

**W.P.(S) No. 6332 of 2024**

---

Pankaj Kumar, aged about 27 years, son of Suresh Ray Yadav, resident of Amrawati Colony, Khatal Said Nandi, Chuta, P.O. and P.S. Chutia, District-Ranchi.

... ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Prison and disaster Management, having its office at Project Building, P.O.& P.S.- Dhurwa, District- Ranchi.

2. The Director General of Home Guard, having its office at Rajendra Chowk, P.O.& P.S.- Doranda, District-Ranchi.

3. The Commandant, Home Guard-Cum Fire Fighting Services, Jharkhand, Ranchi, having its office at Rajendra Chowk, P.O.& P.S. Doranda, District- Ranchi.

4. The Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi, having its office at Collectorate Building, P.O. G.P.O, P.S. Kotwali, District-Ranchi

5. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Ranchi, having its office at Collectorate Building, P.O. G.P.O, P.S. Kotwali, District- Ranchi

6. The District Commandant, Home Guard, Ranchi, having its office at Harmu, PO. Harmu, P.S. Argora, District-Ranchi .....Respondent(s)

with

**W.P.(S) No. 6810 of 2024**

---

1. Akshay Tirkey, aged about 27 years, Son of Sunil Tirkey, Resident of Near Bajrangbali Mandir, Lower Bardman Compound, Dhobi Ghat, Lalpur, P.O & P.S- Lalpur, District- Ranchi

2. Geeta Kumari, aged about 27 years, Daughter of Jagdish Thakur, Resident of Village & P.O & P.S- Budhmu, District- Ranchi

3. Abusufyan, aged about 28 years, Son of Md. Yunus Ansari, Resident of Village Mohanpur, P.O-Gurugain, P.S- Budmu, District- Ranchi

4. Sonu Kumar, aged about 28 years, Son of Anuj Singh, Resident of Patel Compound, Sujata, Ranchi Main Road, P.O & P.S- Chutia, District- Ranchi

..... Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Prison and disaster Management, having its office at Project Building, P.O.& P.S.- Dhurwa, District- Ranchi.

2. The Director General of Home Guard, having its office at Rajendra Chowk, P.O.& P.S.- Doranda, District-Ranchi.

3. The Commandant, Home Guard-Cum Fire Fighting Services, Jharkhand, Ranchi, having its office at Rajendra Chowk, P.O.& P.S. Doranda, District-Ranchi.

4. The Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi, having its office at Collectorate Building, P.O. G.P.O, P.S. Kotwali, District-Ranchi

5. The Senior- Superintendent of Police, Ranchi, having its office at Collectorate Building, P.O.- G.P.O, P.S. Kotwali, District- Ranchi

6. The District Commandant, Home Guard, Ranchi having its office at Harmu, P.O.- Harmu, P.S.-Argora, District- Ranchi ..... Respondent(s)

---

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN**

---

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ajit Kr, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Manoj Kr. Choubey, Adv
Mr. Krishna Prajapati, Adv
Ms. Taniya Singh, Adv
(in W.P.(S) No. 262 of 2024)
Mr. J.N. Upadhyay, Adv
Mr. Rahul Kumar, Adv
(in W.P.(S) No. 6332 of 2024)
Mr. Kaushik Sarkhel, Adv
Mr. Om Prakash Sharma, Adv

For the Respondents : Mr. Kishore Kr. Singh, S.C.-V
(W.P.(S) No. 95 of 2024)
Mr. Apoorva Singh, A.C. to S.C.(Mines)III
(in W.P.(S) No. 6332 of 2024)
Mr. Tarun Kr. Mahto, A.C. to A.G.
Mrs. Oishi Das, A.C. to A.G.
(in W.P.(S) Nos. 09 of 2023 and 1273 of 2023)
Mr. Abhinay Kumar, A.C. to G.A.-I
(in W.P.(S) No. 262 of 2024)
Mr. Munna Lal Yadav, S.C. (L&C) III
Mr. Jazeb Eqbal, A.C. to S.C. (L&C) III
(in W.P.(S) No. 6810 of 2024)

---

**20/ 02.07.2026**

**1.** With consent of the parties all these writ applications have been taken up together and being disposed of by this common order.

**2. PRAYER**

**In W.P.(S) No. 262 of 2024**

*a) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order / orders, direction /directions in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent no. 04 to pass reasoned order upon the representation of the petitioners dated 28.12.2023 (Annexure-6), as the persons / candidates declared successful have scored less marks then the petitioners are selected by last list published on 27.12.2022 (Annexure-4) and petitioners who have been declared successful in 1s Result dated 09.03.2018, 2nd list published on 08.09.2018 (Annexure-2) and/or in 3rd result published on 14.04.2022 (Annexure-3), and document verification of petitioners have been completed and they are waiting for the enrolment as home guard, after completing final formality at the end of selection process, have been declared unsuccessful in the last list published on 27.12.2022 and newly selected candidates have been called for medical and document verification illegally*

*b) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order / orders, direction /directions for quashing the order bearing Memo No. 2175/OTD, Dated 26/27.12.2023 issued by Commandant, Home Guard Cum- Fire Fighting Services, Jharkhand, Ranchi (Annexure-5), whereby those candidates, who have not been declared successful have been send for the training by the respondents and petitioners, who are declared successful in all events of recruitment process and document verification was completed and they were/are waiting for training have not been send for the training by the respondents.*

*c) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order / orders, direction /directions directing the respondent no. 04 to show cause as to how without any authority after publication of 1s Result dated 09.03.2018, and called for the document verification by notice dated published on 08.09.2018 by 2nd list published on 08.09.2018 have issued 3rd result published on 14.04.2022 (Annexure-2 & 3) and last list published on 27.12.2022 (Annexure-4) after completion of final selection process as document verification of the petitioners and*

*others have been completed by the respondents and the petitioners are waiting for the enrolment have been declared unsuccessful by last list published on 27.12.2022 as per the advertisement no. 01/2016 dated 03.05.2016, issued by the respondent no. 04.*

*d) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order / orders, direction /directions directing the respondent no. 04 kept the selection process started on the basic of last list published on 27.12.2022 ( Annexure-4) in abeyance till the final decision in the instant writ petition.*

*e) For any other appropriate relief/reliefs to which the petitioners are found to be entitled in the facts and circumstances of this case as also to do conscionable justice to the petitioners.*

**In W.P.(S) No. 09 of 2023**

*a) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order / orders, direction /directions in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent no. 03 to pass reasoned order upon the representation of the petitioners dated 26/08/2019, 09.05.2022,28.12.2022 and 29.12.2022 (Annexure- 3 & 6), as the persons /candidates declared successful have scored less marks then the petitioners are selected by last list published on 27.12.2022 ( Annexure-5) and petitioners who have been declared successful in 1s Result dated 09.03.2018, 2nd on list published 08.09.2018 (Annexure-2) and/or in 3rd result published on 14.04.2022 (Annexure-4), and document verification of petitioners have been completed and they are waiting for the enrolment as home guard, after completing final formality at the end of selection process, have been declared unsuccessful in the last list published on 27.12.2022 and newly selected candidates have been called for medical and document verification illegally*

*b) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order / orders, direction /directions directing the respondent no. 03 to show cause as to how without any authority after publication of 1s Result dated 09.03.2018, and called for the document verification by notice dated published on 08.09.2018 by 2nd list published on 08.09.2018 have issued 3rd result published on 14.04.2022 (Annexure-2 & 4) and last list published on 27.12.2022 (Annexure-5) after completion of final selection process as document verification of the petitioners and others have been completed by the respondents and the petitioners are waiting for the enrolment have been declared unsuccessful by last list published on 27.12.2022 as per the advertisement no. 01/2016 dated 03.05.2016, issued by the respondent no. 03.*

*c) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order / orders, direction /directions directing the respondent no. 03 kept the selection process started on the basic of last list published on 27.12.2022 ( Annexure-5) in abeyance till the final decision in the instant writ petition.*

*d) For any other appropriate relief/reliefs to which the petitioners are found to be entitled in the facts and circumstances of this case as also to do conscionable justice to the petitioners.*

**IN WPS No. 1278 of 2023**

*I. For issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s) in nature the of Mandamus commanding upon the Respondent No. 3 to pass reasoned order upon the representation of the petitioners dated 25/01/2023 and 06.02.2023 (Annexure- 3 Series), as the persons / candidates selected by last list published on 27.12.2022 ( Annexure-5) have scored less marks than the petitioners.*

*II. For issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s) in the nature of Mandamus commanding upon the Respondent No. 3 to consider the case of petitioners for enrolment to the post of Home Guards being Advertisement No. 1/2016 conducted by the Respondent No. 3 Deputy Commissioner cum District Magistrate since the petitioners were declared successful in all the three lists and they had obtained higher marks and have qualified in all events in terms of advertisement but even though their name do not found place in the final merit list dated 27.12.2022(Annexure-5).*

*III. For issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s) for quashing of the Final List dated 27.12.2022 (Annexure- 5) pursuant to Advertisement No. 01/2016 conducted by the Respondent No. 3 since candidates having less marks have been selected in final merit list.*

*IV. For issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s) in the nature of mandamus commanding upon the Respondent No. 3 to publish the fresh revised result in terms and in accordance with law.*

*V. For issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s) calling upon the entire records including the marks statements of all the candidates who have applied for the appointment for the vacancy in pursuant to the Advertisement number 01/2016 (Annexure-1).*

*VI. For issuance of an appropriate order(s) or direction(s) for staying the execution and implementation of recommendation of appointments/ final results dated 27.12.2022 (Annexure- 5)*

*published by Respondent No. 3 Deputy Commissioner cum District Magistrate, during the pendency of this writ petition.*

*AND/OR*

*For issuance of such other writ, order or direction as Your Lordships may deem fit and proper for doing conscionable justice to the petitioner.*

**In WPS No. 64 of 2024**

*a) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order / orders, direction /directions in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent no. 04 to pass reasoned order upon the representation of the petitioners dated 29.12.2023 (Annexure-6), as the persons / candidates declared successful have scored less marks then the petitioners are selected by last list published on 27.12.2022 (Annexure-4 ) and petitioners who have been declared successful in 1s Result dated 09.03.2018, 2nd list published on 08.09.2018 (Annexure-2) and/or in 3rd result published on 14.04.2022 (Annexure-3), and document verification of petitioners have been completed and they are waiting for the enrolment as home guard, after completing final formality at the end of selection process, have been declared unsuccessful in the last list published on 27.12.2022 and newly selected candidates have been called for medical and document verification illegally*

*b) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order / orders, direction /directions for quashing the letter bearing Memo No. 2175/OTD, Dated 26/27.12.2023 issued by Commandant, Home Guard Cum Fire Fighting Services, Jharkhand, Ranchi (Annexure-5), whereby those candidates, who have not been declared successful have been send for the training by the respondents and petitioners, who are declared successful in all events of recruitment document process and verification was completed and they were/are waiting for training have not been send for the training by the respondents.*

*c)For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order / orders, direction /directions directing the respondent no. 04 to show cause as to how without any authority after publication of 1s Result dated 09.03.2018, and called for the document verification by notice dated published on 08.09.2018 by 2nd list published on 08.09.2018 have issued 3rd result published on 14.04.2022 (Annexure-2 & 3) and last list published on 27.12.2022 (Annexure-4) after completion of final selection process as document verification of the petitioners and others have been completed by the respondents and the petitioners*

*are waiting for the enrolment have been declared unsuccessful by last list published on 27.12.2022 as per the advertisement no. 01/2016 dated 03.05.2016, issued by the respondent no. 04.*

*d) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order / orders, direction /directions directing the respondent no. 04 kept the selection process started on the basic of last list published on 27.12.2022 ( Annexure-4) in abeyance till the final decision in the instant writ petition.*

*e) For any other appropriate relief/reliefs to which the petitioners are found to be entitled in the facts and circumstances of this case as also to do conscionable justice to the petitioners.*

**IN WPS No. 95 of 2024**

*1. For issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s) in the nature of Mandamus commanding upon the Respondent No. 3 to pass reasoned order upon the representation of some of the petitioners dated 25/01/2023 and 06.02.2023 (Annexure- 3 Series), as the persons / candidates selected by last list published on 27.12.2022 (Annexure-5) have scored less marks than the petitioners.*

*II. For issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s) in the nature of Mandamus commanding upon the Respondent No. 3 to consider the case of petitioners for enrolment to the post of Home Guards being Advertisement No. 1/2016 conducted by the Respondent No. 3 Deputy Commissioner cum District Magistrate since some of the petitioners were declared successful in all the three lists and some of the petitioner were declared successful in first and second list and they had obtained higher marks and have qualified in all events in terms of advertisement but even though their name do not found place in the final merit list dated 27.12.2022(Annexure-5).*

*III. For issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s) for quashing of the Final List dated 27.12.2022 (Annexure- 5) pursuant to Advertisement No. 01/2016 conducted by the Respondent No. 3 since candidates having less marks have been selected in final merit list.*

*IV. For issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s) in the nature of mandamus commanding upon the Respondent No. 3 to publish the fresh revised result in terms and in accordance with law.*

*V. For issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s) calling upon the entire records including the marks statements of all the candidates who have applied for the appointment for the vacancy in pursuant to the Advertisement number 01/2016 (Annexure-1).*

*VI. For issuance of an appropriate order(s) or direction(s) for staying the execution and implementation of recommendation of appointments/ final results dated 27.12.2022 (Annexure- 5) published by Respondent No. 3 Deputy Commissioner cum District Magistrate, during the pendency of this writ petition.*

*AND/OR*

*For issuance of such other writ, order or direction as Your Lordships may deem fit and proper for doing conscionable justice to the petitioner.*

**IN WPS No. 6332 of 2024**

*a) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order /orders, direction / directions in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent no. 04 to pass reasoned order upon the representation of the petitioner dated 28.12.2023 as the persons / candidates declared successful have scored less marks then the petitioner is selected by last list published on 27.12.2022 and petitioner who has been declared successful in is Result dated 09.03.2018, 2nd list published on 08.09.2018 and/or in 3nd result published on 14.04.2022 and document verification of petitioner has been completed and they are waiting for the enrolment as home guard, after completing final formality at the end of selection. process, have been declared unsuccessful in the last list published on 27.12.2022 and newly selected candidates have been called for medical and document verification illegally.*

*b) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order /orders, direction / directions for quashing the order bearing Memo No. 2175/OTD, Dated 26/27.12.2023 issued by Commandant, Home Guard Cum Fire Fighting Services, Jharkhand, Ranchi whereby those candidates, who have not been declared successful have been send for the training by the respondents and petitioners, who are declared successful in all events of recruitment process and document verification was completed and they were/are waiting for training have not been send for the training by the respondents.*

*c) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order /orders, direction / directions directing the respondent no. 04 to show cause as to how without any authority after publication of 1s Result dated 09.03.2018, and called for the document verification by notice dated published on 08.09.2018 by 2nd list published on 08.09.2018 have issued 3rd result published on 14.04.2022 and last list published on 27.12.2022 after completion of final selection process as document*

*verification of the petitioner and others have been completed by the respondents and the petitioner is waiting for the enrolment have been declared unsuccessful by last list published on 27.12.2022 as per the advertisement no. 01/2016 dated 03.05.2016, issued by the respondent no. 04.*

*d) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order /orders, direction / directions directing the respondent no. 04 kept the selection process started on the basic of last list published on 27.12.2022 in abeyance till the final decision in the instant writ petition.*

*e) For any other appropriate relief/reliefs to which the petitioner is found to be entitled in the facts and circumstances of this case as also to do conscionable justice to the petitioner.*

**IN WPS No. 6810 of 2024**

*a) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order / orders, direction /directions in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent no. 04 to pass reasoned order upon the representation of 2022 the petitioners dated 29.12.2022 (Annexure-8), as the persons / candidates declared successful have scored less marks then the petitioners are selected by last list published on 27.12.2023 ( Annexure-7 ) and petitioners who have been declared successful in 1s Result dated 09.03.2018, ( Annexure-3), 2nd list published on 08.09.2018 (Annexure-4) and/or in 3rd result published (Annexure-5), and document verification of petitioners have been completed and they are waiting for the enrolment as home guard, after completing final formality at the end of selection process, have been declared unsuccessful in the last list published on 27.12.2022 and newly selected candidates have been called for medical and document verification illegally*

*b) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order / orders, direction /directions for quashing the letter bearing Memo No. 2175/OTD, Dated 26/27.12.2023 issued by Commandant, Home Guard Cum Fire Fighting Services, Jharkhand, Ranchi (Annexure-7), whereby those candidates, who have not been declared successful have been send for the training by the respondents and petitioners, who are declared successful in all events of recruitment process and document verification was completed and they were/are waiting for training have not been send for the training by the respondents.*

*c) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order / orders, direction /directions directing the respondent no. 04 to show cause*

*as to how without any authority after publication of 1s Result dated 09.03.2018, and called for the document verification by notice dated published on 08.09.2018 by 2nd list published on 08.09.2018 have issued 3rd result published on 14.04.2022 (Annexure- 5) and last list published on 27.12.2022 (Annexure-6) after completion of final selection process as document verification of the petitioners and others have been completed by the respondents and the petitioners are waiting for the enrolment have been declared unsuccessful by last list published on 27.12.2022 as per the advertisement no. 01/2016 dated 03.05.2016, issued by the respondent no. 04.*

*d) For the issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order / orders, direction /directions directing the respondent no. 04 kept the selection process started on the basic of last list published on 27.12.2022 ( Annexure-6) in abeyance till the final decision in the instant writ petition.*

*e) For any other appropriate relief/reliefs to which the petitioners are found to be entitled in the facts and circumstances of this case as also to do conscionable justice to the petitioners.*

**3.** At the outset, Ld. Sr. Counsel representing the petitioners in the respective writ petitions, assisted by learned counsels Mr. Manoj Kr. Choubey, Mr. Krishna Prajapati, Ms. Tanya Singh, Mr. J.N. Upadhyay, Mr. Rahul Kumar, Mr. Kaushik Sarkhel and Mr. Om Prakash Sharma, fairly submits that, as per their instruction; seats are still available against the respective advertisement with respect to appointment of Home Guards.

**4.** Accordingly, he submits that the respondents be directed to take a decision in the matter in respect of the respective petitioners, in the background that seats are still vacant.

**5.** Learned State counsels representing respective writ applications though relied upon the counter-affidavit and submits that as per them, no seat is vacant under non-technical post; however, they submit that if the petitioner/s so chooses, they may approach the concerned respondent.

**6.** Having regard to the above, all these writs application stands disposed of by giving liberty to the petitioner/s to approach the concerned respondents i.e. Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi for the claims as indicated in the respective writ applications.

**7.** The moment the Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi will receive the representation/s he shall verify the record of the case with the help of his subordinate and pass an appropriate order strictly in accordance with law and applicable rules and regulation governing this advertisement within a period of 20 weeks from the date of receipt of such representation/s.

**8.** With the aforesaid observations, all writ applications stand disposed of without going into the merits of the case.

**9.** It goes without saying that if at all the claim of any of the petitioner/s is found to be genuine in the eye of the concerned respondent; consequential order be also issued within a further period of six weeks.

**10.** Accordingly, all writ applications stand disposed of. Pending I.A.s, if any, also stands disposed of.

(**Deepak Roshan, J.**)

02.07.2026
Amardeep/-
Uploaded on
06.07.2026