Serial No. 26
REGULAR CAUSE LIST

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH AT SRINAGAR

WP(C) 3070/2025 CM(8210/2025)

TAWSEEF AHMAD BHAT …Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)

Through: Mr. Shuja ul Haq, Advocate.

Vs.

UNION TERRITORY OF J AND K AND ORS. ...Respondent(s)

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE (ACTING)
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHD YOUSUF WANI, JUDGE

ORDER
04.07.2026

01. Impugned in this petition filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is an interlocutory order dated 11.11.2025, passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Srinagar ("the Tribunal") in OA No. 1308/2025, titled "Tawseef Ahmad Bhat vs. Union Territory of J & K and Ors.", whereby the Tribunal has declined to pass an interim order of stay of the impugned order of discharge.

02. The objections to the petition have been filed by the respondents.

03. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material on record, we are of the considered opinion that this writ petition against the impugned interim order is not

maintainable. This is so because the matter on merits is yet to be considered by the Tribunal.

04. Since the impugned order was passed on the very first date of hearing, when the Tribunal did not have the benefit of the stand of the respondents. The respondents have filed their objections in this petition and the same can be considered by the tribunal for taking a view with regard to the grant of the interim relief.

05. Let the copy of the objections filed before this Court be submitted before the Tribunal within a period of two weeks from today. The Tribunal may either consider the main petition for final consideration or take up the application for interim relief for consideration. The interim application disposed of in terms of impugned order is restored for the aforesaid purpose.

06. This petition is accordingly, **disposed of.**

07. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner suffers from a disability, as such, we would expect that the tribunal would accord priority to his case and consider the same at the earliest. It would be appreciated if OA filed by the petitioner, or at least, his reconsideration of interim stay is considered and disposed of within a period of three months.

(Mohd Yousuf Wani)
Judge

(Sanjeev Kumar)
Chief Justice (Acting)

SRINAGAR:
04.07.2026
"Hilal Ahmad"