

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR**

WP(C) 2571/2025

CM(6820/2025

AL-GANI INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC INSTITUTE.

..... Petitioner(s)

Through: -

Mr. Sheikh Manzoor, Advocate with

Mr. Shabir Ahmad, Advocate.

V/s

UNION TERRITORY OF J AND K AND ORS.

..... Respondent(s)

Through: -

Mr. Alla-ud-din Ganai, AAG.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAVED IQBAL WANI, JUDGE

(ORDER)

10.02.2026.

1. Facts emerging from the record available on the file based upon which the petitioner herein has prayed for the reliefs in the instant petition are that the petitioner herein established a private School in a far-flung area of Lolab Valley for providing quality education to the poor and downtrodden children after having retired from the Education Department and in this regard approached the respondent 3 for necessary permission/registration and after completing the requisite necessary formalities, sanction came to be granted for opening and running of the School, initially up to 3rd primary level whereafter on account of encouraging response from the inhabitants of the area, the petitioner sought up-gradation of the School up to primary level (5th Class) which as well came to be granted by the respondents vide order dated 20th July, 2018, though subject to obtaining of registration under J&K Shops and Establishment Act, 1966, which the petitioner as well obtained

and that since the School in question was being run and operated in a rented premises and on account of dearth of space, though the petitioner constructed a new School building on his proprietary land situated at *Wavoora Lolab* falling under Education Zone Sogam, as such, the petitioner sought permission from the respondents for shifting of the School from Gagal Zone Khumriyal to Wavoora Zone, Sogam, without seeking any change in the name, nomenclature and governing body of the School which permission came to be granted on 5th January, 2024, by the respondents after seeking necessary requisite (NOC's) as well as requiring the petitioner to fulfill various formalities and that however, after shifting of the School in the month of March, 2024, the petitioner was called by the Deputy Chief Education Officer upon a visit to the School and directed to close the School alleged that the same is being run without being any formal written order granted in favour of the petitioner, aggrieved whereof, the petitioner filed WP(C) No. 527/2024, before this Court which the petition came to be disposed of on 18th March, 2024, with a direction to the respondents not to interfere in the ongoing examination conducted by the School, conducted in the petitioner-School and that thereafter, the respondents without assigning any reason removed the name of the petitioner-School from the U-DISE, an official website maintained by the respondents serving as the official recognition of the Schools, as a result whereof, the academic certificates could not be issued in favour of the students by the School and despite making repeated requests and demands in this regard, the respondents did not restore the U-DISE Code to

the petitioner-School, thereby adversely affected the running and operation of the School, compelling the petitioner to approach this Court through the medium the instant petition.

2. Objections have been filed to the petition by the respondents wherein the respondents *inter-alia* have stated that the petitioner-School though have had been provided U-DISE access under Code 01010700616, initially however, upon shifting of the School, the said code was closed in zone Khumriyal where the petitioner-School was initially being run and that the new code could not be allotted on account of shifting of the School and also due to non-fulfillment of various requisite formalities.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

3. According to the counsel for the respondents, in the event petitioner-School fulfils and complies with the all necessary requisite formalities required for allotment and operation of U-DISE code, which the petitioner despite repeated reminders fails to fulfil, the case of the petitioner would be considered and a decision thereof taken in accordance with law.
4. The counsel for the petitioner is not averse to the submission of all necessary requisite documents inasmuch as, fulfilling all formalities by the petitioner-School as is proposed by the counsel for the respondents in this regard.
5. Having regard to the case set up in the instant petition by the petitioner, reply filed thereto by the respondents as well as aforesaid submissions made by appearing counsel for the parties, the instant petition is taken up for disposal with the consent of appearing counsel for the parties and is, disposed of with a

direction to the respondents to accord effective consideration to the case of the petitioner-School as projected in the instant petition subject of course upon fulfilment and adhering of all necessary requisite formalities by the petitioner-School in this regard.

6. ***Disposed of*** along with connected CM(s).

(Javed Iqbal Wani)
Judge

SRINAGAR
10.02.2026
“Abdul Rashid”

