

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR

WP(C)/900/2026 CM/2363/2026
CM/2752/2026.

PERVAIZ AHMAD KHAN.

...Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. P. S. Ahmad. Advocate.

VERSUS

UNION TERRITORY OF J AND K AND OTHERS.

...Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Mohsin S. Qadri, Senior AAG with
Mr. Haaris Khan, Assisting Counsel.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE.

ORDER
11.05.2026

01. While arguing for a while, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner made an innocuous submission that the application preferred by the petitioner for transportation of cross-breed Jersey cows for a dairy farm, though duly recommended by the Chief Animal Husbandry Officer, Anantnag vide communication dated 20.03.2026 has not yet been brought to its logical conclusion or considered by the competent authority, i.e., the concerned Deputy Commissioner, despite the fact that the said application was forwarded by the Additional District Magistrate, Anantnag to the Deputy Commissioner, Kathua vide communication dated 23.03.2026.
02. Learned counsel for the petitioner with a view to fortify his claim has placed on record the recommendation of the Chief Animal

Husbandry Officer, Anantnag dated 20.03.2026. It is further submitted that verification has been conducted by Police Station, Mattan through SI Daljeet Singh, wherein it has been reported that the particulars of the petitioner are found to be correct and the petitioner is not involved in any subversive activities and no criminal case is registered against him as per the record of the Police Station. Despite clearcut recommendations by the appropriate authorities i.e., the Chief Animal Husbandry Officer, Anantnag and the S.H.O. Police Station Mattan and further recommendation by the Additional District Magistrate, Anantnag, the matter has not been finally decided by the Deputy Commissioner, Kathua.

03. After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would feel satisfied that if a direction is issued to the Deputy Commissioner, Kathua to accord due consideration to the said application within some reasonable period to which on the asking of the Court, Mr. Mohsin S Qadri, learned Senior AAG waives notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that he is not averse, provided the same is considered strictly in accordance with rules and as per law.

04. With the consent of the learned counsel for the parties and an innocuous prayer being made, the instant petition is taken up for disposal at the threshold.

05. The writ petition, in the aforesaid backdrop, is **disposed** of with a direction to the Deputy Commissioner, Kathua to consider the

