

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

Crl A (D) No. 69/2025

Date of pronouncement: 19.09.2026

Date of uploading: 19.09.2026

Showkat Ahmed Parray, Aged 36 years,
S/o Abdul Salam Parray
R/o Sumbal District Bandipora,
At present Inmate, Central Jail, Kot Bhalwal, Jammu Applicant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through :- Mr. Navneet Dubey, Advocate

v/s

The Union of India through
National Investigation Agency, Jammu
Through
Superintendent of Police
(CIO/NIA/JMU)
Sidhra, Jammu

.....Respondent(s)

Through :- Mr. Vishal Sharma, DSGI with
Mr. Karan Sharma, CGSC

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV KUMAR, JUDGE
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MOKSHA KHAJURIA KAZMI, JUDGE**

ORDER
19.09.2026

1. Impugned in this appeal, filed in terms of Section 21 of the National Investigation Agency Act, 2008, is an order dated 24.11.2025 passed by the Court of Special Judge NIA Cases, Jammu [**“the trial Court”**] in file no. 417/2025/Bail titled *“NIA vs. Abdul Momin Peer”*, whereby the application of the appellant, Showkat Ahmed Parray (A8) seeking his release on bail in case RC No. 03/2020/NIA/JMU, has been rejected by relying upon the provisions of Subsection 4 of Section 306 Cr.P.C.
2. On being put on notice, the respondent-NIA has filed objections and has submitted that since the appellant has turned approver and has assisted prosecution and, therefore, NIA has no serious objection for

grant of bail to him. It is, however, submitted that while granting bail, the Court must impose stringent conditions so that the appellant, after being enlarged on bail, does not act to the prejudice of the prosecution case.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material on record as also the stand taken by the NIA in their objections, we are of the considered opinion that it would serve the ends of justice if the appellant is released on bail, subject to furnishing of personal bond to the tune of Rs. 1,00,000/- to the satisfaction of the Superintendent of the concerned jail and two sureties of like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court. **Ordered accordingly.**

4. The grant of bail to the appellant shall be subject to the following conditions: -

(a) The appellant shall not in any way misuse his liberty nor shall he get in touch with any of the witnesses or try to influence the trial.

(b) The appellant shall not tamper with the evidence of the prosecution in any manner.

(c) The appellant shall not leave the territorial jurisdiction of the learned trial Court without prior permission of the learned Court.

(d) The appellant shall disclose the mobile number to be used by him to NIA after his release.

(e) The appellant shall remain bound with the provisions laid down in Section 308 of CrPC, 1973 and shall comply with all the conditions imposed by the trial Court while granting tender under Section 306 Cr.P.C. It is, however, made clear that in case of non-compliance of Section 308 Cr.P.C. by the appellant,

the prosecution shall be at liberty to seek the cancellation of his bail by filing appropriate application.

5. Disposed of.

(Moksha Khajuria Kazmi)
Judge

(Sanjeev Kumar)
Judge

JAMMU
19.09.2026
Manik

Whether this order is speaking: Yes/No

Whether this order is reportable: Yes/No

