

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

**HCP No. 148/2025
CM No. 6637/2025**

Saleema Begum & Anr.

.....Petitioners

Through: None

Vs

UT of J&K & Ors.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Suneel Malhotra, GA for R-1 to 5

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAHUL BHARTI, JUDGE
ORDER
(12.05.2026)

- 01.** Nemo for the petitioners.
- 02.** The petitioner No. 1-Saleema Begum claims to be wife of one Hussan Din son of Imam Hussain resident of village Taraf Tujal, Ward No. 12, Kathua.
- 03.** The petitioner No. 1 joined by the petitioner No. 2-Saif Ali, who is said to be her brother-in-law (the brother of the petitioner's husband) came forward with the present petition instituted on **14.10.2025** alleging that Hussan Din, the husband of the petitioner No. 1 has gone missing **w.e.f. 07.09.2025** leaving behind the petitioner No. 1 and his three children not to be traced despite all searches made by her leading to the lodging of his

missing report with Police Station, Kathua on **08.09.2025** but the same did not get register whereas Police from the Police Station, Lakhanpur on **20.09.2025** had come forward enquiring for said Hussan Din, the husband of the petitioner No. 1. The purpose of visit of Police from the Police Station, Lakhanpur was that one Suraj Din had made a complaint that his wife Reshma and the Hussan Din, the husband of the petitioner No. 1 had eloped.

- 04.** The petitioner No. 1 is said to have made a formal written report to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kathua for the purpose of getting the FIR registered.
- 05.** The purpose of the writ petition is for a writ of habeas corpus for searching of the petitioner No. 1's husband- Hussan Din.
- 06.** The official respondents No. 1 to 5 have come forward with their response to the writ petition denying the narrative as set out in the writ petition by the petitioners. The official respondents No. 1 to 5 have come forward stating that the petitioners, at no point of time, ever came up with any such report of situation that Hussan Din has gone missing. The version of the respondents No. 1 to 5 is that it is only through the medium of the writ petition

that they are being confronted with the situation about the missing of Hussan Din.

07. This Court does not see any purpose in keeping this writ petition on the docket any further and ***disposes of*** this writ petition with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kathua to ensure that the Police Station concerned carries out a thorough enquiry about the veracity of the complaint made by the petitioner No. 1 about her missing husband and also to undertake search about the whereabouts of Hussan Din.

08. If the Police would come to a conclusion that the petitioner No. 1 has resorted to a falsehood in connivance with her husband-Hussan Din then a proper legal course of action be resorted to against the petitioner No. 1. In case, the complaint of the petitioner No. 1 is found to be genuine, then every effort be made to trace Hussan Din for giving closure to the case.

09. The petition is accordingly, ***disposed of***.

(RAHUL BHARTI)
JUDGE

JAMMU
12.05.2026
SUNIL