

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU

Pronounced on : 12.05.2026
Uploaded on : 14.05.2026

Bail App. No. 143/2024
c/w
Bail App. No. 217/2024
CRM(M) No. 761/2024
CRM(M) No. 507/2024

Amita Kumari
.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vilakshan Singh, Advocate

Vs

UT of J&K
.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sumeet Bhatia, GA for R-1
Ms. Ranju, Advocate vice
Mr. Rohit Verma, Advocate for R-2

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAHUL BHARTI, JUDGE
ORDER
(12.05.2026)

01. The registration of **FIR No. 0015/2024** dated **27.06.2024** by the Police Station, Women Cell, Udhampur came to set into effect the filing of four proceedings before this Court.
02. The very first proceeding to be filed was **Bail Application No. 143/2024** by the petitioner-Amita Kumari for the purpose of seeking pre-arrest bail by reference to **FIR No. 0015/2024** dated **27.06.2024**.

03. The next proceeding which came to be instituted is again from the end of the petitioner-Amita Kumari, coming up with institution of **CRM(M) No. 507/2024** instituted on **04.07.2024** thereby quashment of **FIR No. 0015/2024** dated **27.06.2024**.
04. The **Bail Application No. 217/2024** came to be filed by Atul Langeh also seeking pre-arrest bail by reference to **FIR No. 0015/2024** dated **27.06.2024**.
05. **CRM(M) No. 761/2024** came to be preferred by Atul Langeh thereby seeking quashment of **FIR No. 0015/2024** dated **27.06.2024**.
06. The FIR was registered by one Poonam Priyadarshani, the wife of the petitioner-Atul Langeh and daughter-in-law of the petitioner-Amita Kumari.
07. In her said FIR, the complainant-Poonam Priyadarshani alleged marital cruelty amounting to offence with respect to her person from the end of the two petitioners i.e., her husband and mother-in-law.
08. During the pendency of the four proceedings, better sense came to prevail amongst the stakeholders i.e., Poonam Priyadarshani, the respondent in all the aforesaid petitions, and the petitioners, namely, Atul

Langeh and Amita Kumari which has resulted in an amicable settlement, as a result whereof, the petitioner-Atul Langeh and respondent-Poonam Priyadarshani have resorted to mutual divorce proceedings under **Section 13(B) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955** on **file No. 13/2026 instituted on 31.01.2026** before the court of learned Principal District Judge, Udhampur.

- 09.** In terms of the said mutual divorce petition, the petitioner-Atul Langeh and the respondent-Poonam Priyadarshani have worked out mode and modalities of bringing to an end to their marital relationship for the sake of ever lasting peace in their respective life.
- 10.** Today, the petitioners, namely, Amita Kumari, Atul Langeh and the respondent, namely, Poonam Priyadarshani are present in person along with their respective counsel and urge this Court to bring **FIR No. 0015/2024 dated 27.06.2024** to an end for facilitating further proceedings in the mutual divorce petition to its logical end.
- 11.** This Court has also examined the private respondent-Poonam Priyadarshani to verify the fact as to whether she is a consenting party with respect to the amicable

settlement of the matrimonial dispute and she submits that her consent is without any undue influence or intimidation and also intends to bring **FIR No. 0015/2024 dated 27.06.2024** to an end.

12. Accordingly, both the petitions **CRM(M) No. 761/2024 and CRM(M) No. 507/2024** are allowed by quashing the **FIR No. 0015/2024 dated 27.06.2024** of Police Station, Women Cell, Udhampur.

13. In view of the aforesaid, the two Bail Applications, **Bail App. No. 143/2024 and Bail App. No. 217/2024** are rendered infructuous which are accordingly, **dismissed**.

14. Copy of this order be forwarded to the SHO Police Station, Women Cell, Udhampur to consign the **FIR No. 0015/2024 dated 27.06.2024** to its record on account of being quashed by this Court in terms of the proceedings in the present two petitions **CRM(M) No. 761/2024 and CRM(M) No. 507/2024**.

15. ***Disposed of.***

**(RAHUL BHARTI)
JUDGE**

**JAMMU
12.05.2026
SUNIL**