

02.09.2026
Item No.12
Court No.01
Avijit Mitra

WPA (P) 422 of 2026

**Chittaranjan Sardar
-Versus -
The State of West Bengal & ors.**

Mr. Tanmay Basu,
Mr. Sayan Banerjee,
Mr. Rajib Sadhukhan,
Mr. Deep Kayal
.....for the petitioner

The present Public Interest Litigation (in short, PIL) has been preferred primarily praying for issuance of necessary direction upon the respondents, particularly, the respondent no.3 to bring *‘Rabin Naskar along with his other men and agents in the fold of investigation and to file a report against the real culprits and making necessary measures for production before the competent court of Justice’*.

Heard Mr. Basu, learned advocate appearing for the petitioner.

In the PIL, it has, *inter alia*, been averred that the followers of one Rabin Naskar, namely, Ranjit Baidya, Amit Baidya, Biswajit Baidya and Bablu Baidya committed physical torture against the villagers and a brief schedule of such illegal activities has been detailed in paragraph 3 of the writ petition. A representation was submitted by one Dipak Baidya and others claiming to be the inhabitants of village Haridaspur, Post –Panar Hat, Police Station Ramnagar, District South 24

Parganas to the respondent no.3 stating, *inter alia*, that Rabin Naskar and his associates are forcibly inflicting injury and torturing the villagers upon bringing members of a particular political party to the respective residents of the villagers. They are illegally realizing money and encroaching upon private lands and illegally extracting money from the villagers which have been availed under various benevolent schemes. For having voted in favour of a particular political party, some of the villagers have also been terminated from their services. The girls in the village are also being threatened of serious consequences.

The allegations made in the said representation and in the PIL are not supported with any evidence whatsoever. No complaint has been submitted before the police authorities by any villager levelling any allegation, as detailed in the representation. The writ petition does not highlight any genuine public wrong or public injury and it is not aimed at redressing genuine public injury or systemic harm rather than private grudges.

In view thereof, no interference is called for in the present writ petition and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties, upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Atarup Banerjee, J.) (Tapabrata Chakraborty, A.C.J.)

