

08.09.2026

Item No.483
Ct.No.35
dc.

Allowed

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION

C.R.M. (A) 2921 of 2026

In Re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Basudevpur Police Station Case No. 91 of 2026 dated 23.03.2026 under Sections 316(2)/318(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (G.R. Case No. 2119/2026).

And

In Re : **Srijan Das**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Amitava Chowdhury

... For the Petitioner.

Dr. Pradip Banerjee, APP,

Ms. Sreshtha Ghosh,

Mr. Ayan Shubhra Bandyopadhyay

... For the State.

Mr. Quazi Ezaz Ahmed

... For the Opposite Party No.2.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that petitioner has complied with the notice under Section 35(3) of the BNSS. To that effect, acknowledgement has been brought to the notice of the Court which is enclosed along with the application.

Learned advocate appearing for the *de facto* complainant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the State also opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

I have taken into account the alleged offences which prescribe punishment below seven years and notice which has been issued by the Investigating Officer of the case has been complied by the petitioner. Having considered the same, I am of the opinion that custodial detention of the present petitioner in connection with the instant case is

unwarranted. As such, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **allowed**.

Accordingly, in the event of arrest, the petitioner viz., **Srijan Das** shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer on such terms and conditions as he deems fit and proper and also be subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

As the investigation of the case is still in progress, the petitioner, within a period of ten days from date, shall make himself available before the Investigating Officer of the case and thereafter, the Investigating Officer of the case, if required, would fix subsequent dates for presence of the petitioner for the sake of investigation till the report in final form is submitted before the jurisdictional court.

Memo of Evidence submitted by the learned advocate appearing for the State be kept with the record.

The application for anticipatory bail, being CRM (A) 2921 of 2026, is, thus, disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)