

28.08.2026

Serial no. 59

[G.S.Das]

Assistant Registrar
(Court)

CRM (A) 2785 of 2026

In re : An Application under Section **482** of the BNSS, 2023/under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in connection with **Nabadwip PS Case No. 257 of 2026 dated 07.06.2026 u/s 126(2)/74/79/351(2)/3(5) of the BNS and Sections 8 of the POCSO Act.**

-And-

In the matter of : Narayan Chandra Adhikari @ Narayan Adhikhari & Ors.

... Petitioner(s)

Mr. Amanul Islam
Mr. Sourav Mukherjee

... for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Kallol Mondal, Id. PP
Mr. Subhajit Chatterjee
Ms. Shalu Gupta

... for the State-respondent(s)

Mr. Sauradeep Dutta

... for the defacto-complainant

Learned advocate for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in the instant case. Learned advocate added that there is a delay in filing the information with the police authorities and the registration of the FIR.

Learned advocate for the defacto-complainant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that there are specific overt acts assigned to these petitioners.

State has produced the case diary.

I have taken into account the statement of one of the victims which depicts the conduct of the accused persons and the manner in which the alleged offence was committed. Moreover, there were injuries sustained by the victims.

Having considered the same, I am of the view that this is not a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioners.

Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is **Rejected**.

Accordingly, CRM (A) 2785 of 2026 is dismissed.

Memo of evidence submitted be kept with the record.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)

