

19.08.2026
DL-33
Court No.551
[Bench ID-266058]
(AD)

(Rejected)

In the High Court at Calcutta
Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

C.R.M. (A) 2460 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with G.R. Case No.1068 of 2026 arising out of **Tamna** Police Station Case No.**71 of 2026** dated **14.06.2026** under Sections **85/115(2)/109/3(5)** of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 now pending before the Court of Learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Purulia.

And

In the matter of: **Gurupada Mahato**

....petitioner

Mr. Sougata Mitra, Advocate
Mr. Nikhil Kr. Gupta, Advocate
Ms. Soma Chakraborty, Advocate
Mr. Subhadeep Maitra, Advocate

...for the petitioner

Mr. Ayan Basu, Advocate
Mr. Gautam Banerjee, Advocate

... for the State

1. As per the case of the prosecution there are allegations that the accused persons took away the victim out of her house. They assaulted the victim and tried to strangle her.
2. Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that other accused persons named in the First Information Report have been granted bail by the learned jurisdictional Court. He prays for anticipatory bail.
3. Learned Advocate appearing for the State relies upon various materials in the case diary more specifically the injury report. He submits that there are sufficient materials to support the case of the prosecution that the

accused persons tried to strangle the victim to death.

4. Having considered the materials in the case diary and upon going through the statements of the witnesses recorded under Section 180 of the BNSS as well as the medical documents contained in the case diary, it appears that the victim used to be subjected to torture by her husband and other in-laws including the present petitioner. The medical documents exhibit that the Medical Officer did find several injuries on the person of the victim including the mark of strangulation.
5. Given the nature of the allegations as well as the materials contained in the case diary, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
6. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.
7. **C.R.M. (A) 2460 of 2026** is **dismissed**.

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)

ABHIJIT
DAS

Digitally signed by
ABHIJIT DAS
Date: 2026.08.20
12:37:22 +05'30'