

D/L677
24.07.2026
Bpg.
Allowed

C.R.M. (A) 2204 of 2026

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Joypur Police Station Case No.157 of 2026 dated 08.06.2026 under Sections 417/420/464/506 of the Indian Penal Code;

Atin Kumar Mandal & Anr.
Versus
The State of West Bengal

Mr. Uday Sankar Chattopadhyay
Ms. Trisha Rakshit
Ms. Rajashree Tah
Ms. Aishwarya Datta.
...for the petitioners.

Mr. Shourjyo Mukherjee
Ms. Casurina Chatterjee.
...for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in connection with the instant case as they had a family dispute. Petitioner no.1 has repaid some money back. In spite of the same, he has been foisted with the present criminal case along with his mother.

Learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that there are documents which have been seized by the police authorities which are appointments letters which are fake. Petitioners have been able to

deceive the innocent complainant.

I have taken into account the overall materials in the case diary.

Having considered the fact that the investigation of the case is still in progress, I am not inclined to grant the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner no.1, namely, Atin Kumar Mandal. As such, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner no.1 is rejected.

So far as the petitioner no.2 is concerned, from the initial complaint as also the fact she being a lady, I am of the view that her custodial detention may not be warranted having considered the facts of the present case. So far as the petitioner no.2 is concerned, her prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed.

Accordingly, in the event of arrest, the petitioner no.2, namely, Bijali Mondal shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also be subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure corresponding to Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Petitioner no.2 shall make herself available as when called for by the investigating officer of the case.

With the aforesaid observations, CRM(A)2204 of 2026 is partly allowed.

Memo of Evidence submitted by the State be kept with the record.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly

downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)