

17.08.2026
Sl. No.44
Ct. 446/tkm

C. R. M. (A) 2210 of 2026

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Joypur Police Station Case No.78 of 2026 dated 06.05.2026 under sections 126(2)/118(2)/303(2)/76/109/3(5) of BNS 2023

And

In Re: **Sushil Bag @ Shushil Bag & Anr.** Petitioners

Mr. Tanmay Chowdhury
Ms. Rituprita Ghosh
Ms. Sulagna Sarkar

... .. for the petitioners

Ms. Supriti Sarkhel

... .. for the State

1. Learned Advocate for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case out of political rivalry and personal grudge. He submits that there is a case and counter-case between the parties and that some of the accused persons have been granted pre-arrest bail by the learned Civil Judge, Howrah. He prays for pre-arrest bail.
2. Learned Advocate for the State produces the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the main allegation is directed against the present accused persons. From the injury report as well as the other materials on record, it is evident that the present two accused persons brutally assaulted the victim with a sharp-cutting weapon, as a result of which the victim suffered grievous injuries on vital parts of his body.
3. Heard the learned Advocates for the respective parties and perused the CD and other materials on record, including the injury report. The injury report suggests that the victim suffered grievous injuries on his person. The main allegation is directed against the present accused persons. Taking note of this fact and

considering the nature of the injuries, I am of the view that it would not be apposite to extend the privilege under Section 482 of the BNSS in favour of the petitioners.

4. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Partha Sarathi Chatterjee, J.)