

01.09.2026
Court No.35.
D/L. 309.
Kausik
(Allowed)

CRM (M) 1722 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/ Section 439 of the Code of
Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Bidhannagar
(North) Police Station Case No. 43/2025 dated 17.03.2025 under
Section 4 of the POCSO Act.

And

In the matter of : Rohan Kanrar

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Angshuman Chakraborty
Mr. S.S. Saha

.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Saptak Sanyal
Mr. Satyaki Chaudhuri
Ms. Barna Samanta

....for the defacto complainant.

Mr. Kallol Mondal, Ld. PP
Mr. Ayan Basu
Mr. Gautam Banerjee

....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits
that petitioner is in custody for more than 1 year 5 months.
Evidence of the victim is over. Number of witnesses are yet to
be examined. As such, petitioner be released on bail.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for
bail.

I have taken into account the statement of the victim under Section 183 of the BNSS as well as other attending materials.

Learned advocate for the defacto complainant opposes the prayer for bail and submits that petitioner has exercised his influence. There are delay intentionally caused by the accused person. As such, if the petitioner is released on bail the trial would be seriously prejudiced.

I have taken into account the period of detention of the present petitioner and the fact that the testimony of the victim has already been recorded. Having considered that some time will be required to take the trial to its logical conclusion, I am of the view that on certain conditions petitioner be released on bail.

Accordingly, prayer for bail of the petitioner is allowed.

Accordingly, Petitioner, namely, **Rohan Kanrar** shall furnish bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with two sureties of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of Learned CJM, Barasat.

If on bail, the petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed before the learned Trial Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of District of North 24 Parganas without the prior permission of the learned Trial Court.

Additionally, petitioner shall stay outside the jurisdiction of Bidhannagar Municipality. Petitioner shall once in a week attend the jurisdictional police station where he would reside and submit such acknowledgment before the learned Special Court in seisin of the case. If there is any violation of the condition including the other general conditions of bail, learned Special Court would be at liberty to cancel the bail without further reference to this Court.

With the aforesaid observations CRM (M) 1722 of 2026 is **allowed**.

Memo of Evidence submitted be kept with the record.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)