

30.07.2026

Item No.288

Ct.No.35

dc.

Rejected

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION

C.R.M. (M) 1605 of 2026

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 corresponding to Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 filed in connection with Hirapur Police Station Case No. 261 of 2021 dated 16.09.2021 under Sections 302/449/506 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : **Md. Anwar Ali @ Md. Anwar Alam**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Apurba Kumar Datta

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Moyukh Mukherjee,
Ms. Nayana Mukhopadhyay

... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 4 years 10 months and in spite of the earlier time frame provided, the prosecution has failed to conclude the examination of the witnesses within the scheduled period.

Learned advocate appearing for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the present is a double murder case. Prosecution is exerting efforts, but because of reasons beyond their control, the examination of the witnesses could not be completed.

In view of the aforesaid, I direct that evidence of rest of the witnesses be positively completed within a period of six months from the date of communication of this order. Having regard to the heinousness of the offence as *prima facie* it appears from the prosecution papers, I am not

inclined to release the petitioner on bail. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

State would communicate this order to the learned Trial Court.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 1605 of 2026, is, thus, dismissed.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)