

18.08.2026

Item No.15

Ct.No.35

dc.

Rejected

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION

C.R.M. (A) 1870 of 2026

In Re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Harirampur Police Station Case No. 74 of 2026 dated 21.03.2026 under Sections 137(2)/140(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012.

And

In Re : **Ranjit Das**

... Petitioner.

Mr. A. K. Chakraborty,
Mr. Sajal Kanti Bhattacharyya

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Sagar Saha,
Ms. Nayana Mukhopadhyay

... For the State.

Mr. Sarthak Barman

... For the *de facto* complainant.

Affidavit-of-service filed in Court today be kept with the record.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent of the charges and has been falsely implicated in connection with the present case.

Learned advocate appearing for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and produces the case diary.

Learned advocate for the *de facto* complainant is present.

I have taken into account the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS. Having regard to

the statement of the victim, I am of the opinion that this is not a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioner. As such, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

The application for anticipatory bail, being CRM (A) 1870 of 2026, is, thus, dismissed.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)