

D/L 936
17.07.2026
Bpg.

C.R.M. (A) 938 of 2026

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Ausgram Police Station Case No.43 of 2026 dated 01.02.2026 under Section 318(2)/316(2)/303(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023;

Sk. Raju
Versus
The State of West Bengal

Mr. Sk. Salim.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Krishnendu Bhattacharya
Ms. Tanusree Kar.
...for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the nature of the disputes have the trappings of commercial transactions.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

I have taken into account the materials appearing in the case diary. Having considered the overall circumstances, I am of the view that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is unwarranted. As such, the prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed. Accordingly, in the event of arrest, the petitioner, namely, Sk.Raju shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also be subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) corresponding to Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Sanhita, 2023.

As the charge-sheet has not been submitted in the present case, the petitioner shall make himself available as and when called for by the investigating officer.

Accordingly, CRM(A)938 of 2026 is allowed.

Memo of Evidence submitted by the State be kept with the record.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)