GAHC010201302026

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./2748/2026

INTAZ ALI SK ALIAS INTAJ ALI ALIAS BURA
S/O LATE KALIMUDDIN ALI SHEIKH, R/O VILLAGE HEKAIPARA, P.S
DISTRICT KOKRAJHAR, ASSAM, PIN - 783370.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE P.P, ASSAM.

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. J ALI, MS F HUSSAIN,MR S M ABDULLAH P

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SHAMIMA JAHAN

ORDER

Date : 15.09.2026

Heard Mr. J. Ali, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. B. Sharma, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. By this application, the petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Kokrajhar P.S. Case No. 138/2026 registered under Sections 317(4)/317(1)/112/318(4)/ 318(1)/ 319(2)/ 319(1)/308(6)/ 308(1)/ 308(7)/

61(2)(a) /351(2) /351(3) /3(5) /3(6) /3(8) /3(9) of BNS, 2023. The FIR in connection with which the petitioner has prayed for bail was lodged on 05.09.2026 by the police personnel of Kokrajhar Police Station stating inter alia that the petitioner as well as some other persons holding no office, no authority and no professional qualification of any kind use to stay in and around the Police Station and they intercept the persons who come to the Police Station inquired, prepares the complaint or speaks to them regarding settlement at times they threatened also, the person who come to the Police Station and also takes money from them.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that allegations narrated in the FIR are vague and no specific allegation is made against the petitioner, who is arrayed at serial no.3 in the said FIR. He submits that the petitioner is working as VDP Secretary in Village Hekaipara in the District of Kokrajhar and that there is no likelihood that he will be involved in such offence.

4. Mr. B. Sharma, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, however, submits that the Case Diary be called for in order to see the entire gamut of the case.

5. Upon consideration of the submissions made by the learned Counsel for the parties, more so, in view of the fact that no specific allegations were made against the petitioner and further there are no statements of any victim, this Court deems it fit to enlarge the petitioner on bail on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with one local surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of CJM, Kokrajhar, under the following conditions:

i) the petitioner will appear before the Investigating Officer as and when

called for.

ii) the petitioner will not hamper, tamper with the evidence, neither influence the witnesses connected with the case.

iii) the petitioner will not leave the place of jurisdiction without the permission of the concerned Court.

6. Petition is disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant