GAHC010181132026

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./2456/2026

NUR AHMED LASKAR
S/O AMIR UDDIN LASKAR, R/O VILL-KATANALA N.C., P.S. RAMNATHPUR, DISTRICT- HAILAKANDI, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
TO BE REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M A CHOUDHURY, MR A AHMED,MISS. P M AHMED,MR. A AHMED,U U KHAN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH MAZUMDAR

ORDER

Date : **28.08.** 2026

Heard Mr. A. Ahmed, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. B. Sarma, learned Addl. P.P for the State of Assam.

2. This is an application under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 praying for granting bail to the petitioner, namely, **Nur Ahmed Laskar,** who is in custody since 28.11.2025, in connection with Special (NDPS) Case No. 13/2026 arising out of Bazaricherra P.S. Case No. 112/2025 registered under Section 22 (C)/ 25/ 29 of NDPS Act, 1985 pending in the Court of Special Judge, Sribhumi.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner had been arrested since investigation revealed that the persons from whom the contraband had been recovered had revealed his name; thereafter, it was also found that the petitioner had used the handset belonging to one of the middlemen.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the aforesaid middleman has not been named as an accused in the charge-sheet.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that the original conversation between the accused has not been put in as part of evidence in the charge-sheet.

6. The learned counsel has further submitted that the persons in whose name the GST certificate had been obtained for the consignment have been granted

bail by this Court.

7. The said bail was granted on the ground that this Court was satisfied to believe that the petitioner in BA No. 919/2026 may not be guilty of the offences which she has been charged with. Furthermore, there was no ingredient to show that if granted bail, she was likely to be involved in offences of a similar nature. The other co-accused who were apprehended along with the contraband had been granted bail in BA No. 1069/2026 on the ground of non-compliance with the provisions of Section 48 of the BNSS.

8. The learned Addl. P.P has submitted that the charges have already been framed on 06.03.2026.

9. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties, and I have also gone through the materials available in the scanned copy of the TCR. At the present stage, I am not of the opinion that the petitioner may secure acquittal in the trial.

10. Accordingly, keeping in view with regard to the Section 37 of the NDPS Act, the petition of the petitioner is rejected at this stage.

11. The bail petition is dismissed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant