GAHC010155242026

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./2098/2026

IMTAZ RAHMAN
SON OF LATE ALEYA RAHMAN, RESIDENT OF WARD NO. 5,
GOBHARDHANA ROAD, NEAR BARA MASJID, TEZPUR, SONITPUR,
ASSAM, PIN-784001

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A DHAR, MR I CHAKMA,MR. S. K. CHAKMA,MS. D GHOSH

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SHAMIMA JAHAN

ORDER

29.07.2026

1. Heard Ms. D. Ghosh, learned counsel appearing for the accused petitioner as well as Mr. D. P. Goswami, learned Addl. P.P., Assam appearing for the State respondent.

2. This petition under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023, has been filed by the accused-petitioner, namely, **Imtaz Rahman**, praying for grant of bail in connection with **Harisinga P.S. Case No.16/2026 registered under Section 75(2) of**

BNS Act.

3. The FIR on the basis of which the petitioner has been arrayed as an accused and was arrested was lodged by the victim herself on 19.07.2026 by which it was stated that the victim was working as a permanent worker at Majuli Tea State and on 18.07.2026 at 8 am while she was working at the bunglow of the petitioner, the petitioner called her to his bed room and asked her to give a head message and when she was messaging his head, the petitioner touched her private parts and when she resisted he attempted to sexually assault her.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was arrested on 20.07.2026 and that he is behind the bars since 19.07.2026. She also submits that the statement of the victim was recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS and also statement of other witnesses. She submits that investigation has been conducted to quite an instant and that the petitioner be released on bail.

5. Learned Additional Public prosecutor submits that there are allegations against the petitioner to the effect that he tried to sexually assault the victim in his house.

6. Upon consideration of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, it is seen that the petitioner was inside the jail for quite some time and that it is reflected in the orders of the SDJM, Udalguri that the statements of the victim and other witnesses were already recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS and as such this Court finds it fit that the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing of a bail bond of Rs.20,000/- with one local security of the like amount to the satisfaction of the:

(a) Shall appear before the IO as and when call for;

(b) Shall not influence the witness connected with the case.

(c) Shall not hamper or tamper with the evidence of the case.

(d) Shall not leave the place of residence without the permission of the SDJM, Udalguri.

7. Accordingly, this bail application stands **allowed** and **disposed of**.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant