GAHC010124272026

2026:GAU-AS:10023

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/1349/2026

SHRI NIKET MORE
S/O SHRI SHANKAR MORE, R/O LOKHRA, PO ODALBAKRA, PS GORCHUK, GHY, DIST KAMRUP M, ASSAM, PIN 781034

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR Z KAMAR, M. ROBBANI,MR M I NEOG,P D CHOUDHURY,MR S J CHOUDHURY

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

Date : 22.07.2026

Heard Mr. Z. Kamar, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. M.I. Neog, learned for the accused applicant. Also heard Mr. B. Sarma, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor appearing for the State.

This is an application filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 praying for grant of pre-arrest bail to the accused applicant **Shri Niket More**, in connection with Golaghat P.S. Case NO.190/2025 registered under Sections 61(2)/314/316(2)/318(4) of the BNS, 2023.

The allegations as narrated in the FIR dated 07.10.2025 reveals that the accused applicant is an employee of a firm, namely, Buildwell Solutions, which has entered into an agreement with the informant's company to set a solar plant and pursuant thereto, some payments were also made to the executing firm but due to lack of sufficient progress in the execution of the work, the informant had lodged the FIR alleging cheating, forgery and criminal breach of trust.

Case diary in the instant case is yet to be received.

However, the learned senior counsel submits that the allegations made in the FIR transpires that the dispute is civil in nature and the same has been given a colour of criminal offence. He further submits that the accused applicant was given interim protection by the order dated 19.06.2026 by a Coordinate Bench of this Court and in terms of the said interim protection, the accused applicant has already appeared before the Investigating Officer and got his statement recorded.

In view of the aforesaid, he submits that since the accused applicant is cooperating with the investigation, the interim pre-arrest bail order dated 19.06.2026 should be made absolute.

Taking into account the aforesaid submissions as well as on perusal of the materials before this Court by way of filing this anticipatory bail application, this Court is of the considered opinion that the interim pre-arrest bail order dated 19.06.2026 should be made absolute.

Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application is disposed of by making the interim pre-arrest bail order dated 19.06.2026 absolute in the same terms and conditions as mentioned in the order dated 19.06.2026.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant