GAHC010121752026

2026:GAU-AS:8594

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/2020/2026

MS ALCAB INDUSTRIES
A REGISTERED PARTNERSHIP FIRM, HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT PACHARIA, DOLLAR PATHAR, HAJO, DISTRICT KAMRUP, ASSAM- 781104.
REPRESENTED BY ONE OF ITS PARTNERS, MRS. PRIYANKA HANSARIA W/O MR. ANURAG JALAN R/O- FLAT NO. 7903, BLOCK-A, NEHA APARTMEN

VERSUS

STATE OF ASSAM AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER SECRETARY, POWER ELECTRICITY DEPARTMENT, DISPUR, GUWAHATI- 781006

2:ASSAM POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LIMITED (APDCL)
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR
BIJULEE BHAWAN
PALTAN BAZAR
GUWAHATI -781001

3:THE CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER
PP D
APDCL
BIJULEE BHAWAN
PALTAN BAZAR
GUWAHATI -781001

4:RURAL ELECTRIFICATION CORPORATION PVT LTD
REC
REPRESENTED BY CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR
REC WORLD HEADQUARTERS
PLOT NO. I4
NEAR IFFCO CHOWK METRO STATION
SECTOR 29
GURUGRAM

HARYANA 122001

5:NK POWER AND INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD
PLAZA BUILDING
GANESHGURI CHARIALI
G.S. ROAD
GUWAHATI- 781006. . PROFORMA OPP. PART

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. K AGARWAL, MR G AGARWAL

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, SC, APDCL

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI

ORDER

Date : 16-06-2026

The instant interlocutory application has been filed for an interim order with regard to the impugned suspension/cancellation of vendor approval made, vide Communication dated 09.06.2026. The interlocutory application has been filed during the pendency of the connected WP(C) No. 2952/2026.

[2] Shri. K. Agarwal, learned Senior Counsel for the applicant/writ petitioner has, however, submitted that the action was clearly done in violation of the interim order passed by this Court on 05.06.2026 in the connected writ petition. He has, however, submitted that subsequently the said order has been withdrawn.

[3] Shri. K.P. Pathak, learned Standing Counsel, APDCL has submitted that due to certain confusion, the impugned action was taken, despite the interim order of this Court. However, on realizing about the said interim order, the impugned order dated 09.06.2026 has been withdrawn and steps are also contemplated to be taken against the erring officers.

[4] In view of the above developments, this Court is of the opinion that no order is required to be passed in the interlocutory application, which is accordingly closed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant