GAHC010074052026

2026:GAU-AS:13098-DB

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/1215/2026

MD JABED ALI
S/O- SADAR ALI, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- 1 NO. KUARIPUKHURI, P.S. DALGAON, DISTRICT- DARRANG, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, GOVT OF INDIA, NEW DELHI-1

2:THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HOME
GOVT. OF ASSAM
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-06

3:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
JORHAT
P.O. JORHAT
DISTRICT- JORHAT
ASSAM

4:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE (BORDER)
JORHAT
P.O. JORHAT
DISTRICT- JORHAT
ASSAM

5:THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
NEW DELHI-01

6:THE STATE COORDINATOR OF NRC
ASSAM
HOUSEFED COMPLEX
1ST FLOOR
BONPHOOL NAGAR
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-06

7:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE (BORDER)
SIVASAGAR
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. N HAQUE, MR. S R BARBHUIYA,MR. A K AZAD,MR M HUSSAIN

Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I., SC, F.T,SC, NRC,GA, ASSAM,SC, ECI

Linked Case : WP(C)/1374/2026

MD JABED ALI
S/O- SADAR ALI
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- 1 NO. KUARIPUKHURI
P.S. DALGAON
DISTRICT- DARRANG
ASSAM

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS
GOVT OF INDIA
NEW DELHI-1

2:THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HOME
GOVT. OF ASSAM
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-06

3:THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
JORHAT

P.O. JORHAT
DISTRICT- JORHAT
ASSAM

4:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE (BORDER)
JORHAT
P.O. JORHAT
DISTRICT- JORHAT
ASSAM

5:THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
NEW DELHI-01

6:THE STATE COORDINATOR OF NRC
ASSAM
HOUSEFED COMPLEX
1ST FLOOR
BONPHOOL NAGAR
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-06

Advocate for : MR. N HAQUE
Advocate for : DY.S.G.I. appearing for THE UNION OF INDIA AND 5 ORS

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KALYAN RAI SURANA
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SUSMITA PHUKAN KHAUND

ORDER

Date : 09.09.2026
(K.R. Surana, J)

Heard Mr. N. Haque, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Mr. G. Sharma, learned standing counsel for the FT and NRC; Mr. S. Kataky, learned standing counsel for the ECI; Mr. H. Gupta, learned CGC and Mr. P. Sarmah, learned Addl. Senior Govt. Advocate.

The applicant is the petitioner in WP(C)/1374/2026. The learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that due to inadvertence the jurisdictional Superintendent of Police (B), being the Superintendent of Police (B), Sivasagar

was not impleaded and instead Superintendent of Police (B), Jorhat was impleaded, which is not the appropriate authority in this case. Accordingly, it is prayed that the competent authority be impleaded as respondent no.7 in the writ petition and the name of respondent no.4 be struck off.

Having heard the leanred standing counsel for the Border and FT matters, the prayer made in this interlocutory application stands allowed.

Accordingly, this I.A. stands disposed of.

JUDGE **JUDGE**

Comparing Assistant