GAHC040013972026

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : AB/110/2026

Rakesh Mahendrabhai Sadhu
Son of Mehendra Bhai, proprietor of the Rudra Engineers, address of 1, Shree Ram Estate, N Shayonan Estate, Panchratna, Vatva GIDC Ahmedabad-45, Gujarat.

VERSUS

The State of AP
represented through the PP of AP

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mipe Ete, Idak Bam

Advocate for the Respondent : P P of AP,

-BEFORE-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

16.09.2026

Heard Mr. Mipe Ete, learned counsel appearing for the accused applicant and Ms. L. Hage, learned Addl. PP for the State.

2. This is an application under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 praying for granting pre-arrest bail to the accused applicant in connection with Kalaktang P.S. Case No.13/2024 and G. R. Case No. 78/2024 registered

under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution story is that one FIR was lodged by one Tashi Norbu against the Managing Director of Rudra Engineer alleging that inspite of payment of Rs 20,00,000/-(Rupees Twenty Lakhs) only, the accused applicant has not delivered the goods.

4. Based on the aforesaid FIR, the Kalaktang P.S. Case No.13/2024 has been registered under the aforementioned section.

5. A coordinate bench of this Court vide order dated 26.08.2026 had granted interim pre-arrest bail to the accused applicant with a direction to the accused applicant to appear before the I.O. of the case within 10 days.

6. The learned counsel appearing for the accused applicant submits that in terms of the direction given vide order dated 26.08.2026, the accused applicant had appeared before the I.O. of the case and got his statement recorded. The above fact has also been confirmed by the learned Addl. PP for the State.

7. The Case Diary in the instant case has been produced before this Court and the same is perused.

9. Taking into account the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the respective parties as well as the fact that the accused

applicant is cooperating with the investigation, this Court is of the considered opinion that the interim pre-arrest bail order dated 26.08.2026 should be made absolute. Accordingly, the instant Anticipatory Bail application is disposed of by making the interim pre-arrest granted by a coordinate bench of this Court vide order dated 26.08.2026 absolute with the same terms and conditions.

Case Diary be sent back, forthwith.

JUDGE

CHECKED & VERIFIED

Digitally signed
by Talom Tali
Date: 2026.09.16
16:52:12 +05'30'